

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_113491
Title of the Manuscript:	The Renoprotective effects of Liraglutide and Alfacalcidol against Cisplatin induced Nephrotoxicity in Mice
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. The combination of Liraglutid and One-alpha has not been seen before in a mouse model or other models. The schedule is very strictly</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Cisplatin nephrotoxicity is an important limitation for the use. Any new possibility to decrease the risk is desirable. The setup is simple and the implementation rigorously. The results easy to understand. The text is well written</p> <p>Specific:</p> <p>Page 3, 10 lines up from bottom: the same sentence is written twice</p> <p>Page 5, 3 lines up from bottom. treated instead of untreated??</p> <p>Page 6, line 7, treated instead of untreated??</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lisbet Brandi
Department, University & Country	North Zealand University Hospital, Denmark