

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_114429
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification of endophytes and evaluation of their impact on growth of Chia (Salvia hispanica L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, It's interesting work. Considering the scope of the research, it would be beneficial to include the term 'rhizosphere' alongside 'endophyte' in the title. Yes It's OK The article is scientifically correct, but, the methodology section needs to be elaborated in detail to ensure readers a comprehensive understanding of the research process References, there are several citations are not in accordance with standard bibliography writing guidelines. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The quality of the English-language article is suitable for scholarly communication	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Firstly, I want to commend you on the quality and depth of your research. However, the writing flow seems some sections, and there are a few terms that might need clarification or improvement for better coherence.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alimuddin Ali
Department, University & Country	Universitas Negeri Makassar, Indonesia