

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_114208
Title of the Manuscript:	Degree-Based Topological indices and M-Polynomials of Fenofibrate drug
Type of the Article	Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Authors need to modify the title. It looks like the heading of any assignment.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>I think the introduction section should not contains the subsections.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>Most of the references are outdated. Also authors have cited references in bulk.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It would be appropriate if authors make some figures by labeling the vertices with degrees. So that readers can easily understand how the results of Table 1 in section 3 are computed. • Replace the lists with Table in the captions of Tables. • There are many typos in the manuscript. Kindly revise the article to avoid any typos and grammatical errors. \What is motivation behind this study. • The abstract is too short. Kindly modify the abstract by adding some information some motivation. • Provide the comparative analysis to authenticate you results. • Introduction is too small white the references list is too long. The authors has cited references in bulk. Looks like to increase the no. of citations. Cite only the related reference. • The title is no appropriate. Kindly modify it. • 80% of references are out-dated. Kindly cite the references from the recent past 5 years. • Authors can cite <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10.1155/2023/8272936 • 10.1016/j.comptc.2023.114056 • 10.1155/2022/7204641 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall paper is good and can be published.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aqsa Sattar
Department, University & Country	University of Management and Technology, Pakistan