

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_114623
Title of the Manuscript:	From Dormant Debris to danger: Unveiling spilled Gallstones intriguing Transformation into Preperitoneal Abscess.
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The article is of an important value as it reports a complication of the most performed surgery for women. Through this case-report and literature review, surgeons may take appropriate precautions to avoid such an issue.</p> <p>I congratulate you on the choice of the title: it is very captive.</p> <p>The abstract is well structured and provide the most pertinent data.</p> <p>The manuscript is well structured. However, I recommend you to add a section to “highlight” the most useful data concerning genesis, diagnosis and prevention. Also, as the literature is scarce in this subject, it will be useful to add a table summarizing all reported cases and to enrich the discussion with the retrieved data. For example, median time of onset following index surgery? The most reported symptom? Was the diagnosis misled before surgery? The surgical route?</p> <p>The article is scientifically correct as it is backed with pertinent references.</p> <p>References used are well chosen and pertinent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Despite the article provide great data on the subject with rational explanation, the English used should be improved in vocabulary and grammar to achieve the expected proficiency.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mseddi Mohamed Ali
Department, University & Country	La Rabta Hospital, Tunisia