

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_110335
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comprehensive analysis of Export Performance and Trade Competitiveness of millets in India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The aim of this research is to increase the competitiveness of millet in the global market. Millet can be used as a provider of healthy food that is sustainable and sustainable, in line with the world agenda in the future in a sustainable manner.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article is appropriate</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is complete, for keywords it is best not to write down the analysis of the data used, just the variables used</p> <p>4. based on the results of the sub-section analysis and the structure of the manuscript is appropriate</p> <p>5. The manuscript of this article is scientifically correct because it has been supported by secondary and primary data as well as data analysis with several expert references</p> <p>6. The references used are sufficient and up to date.</p> <p>7. It's just that there are references that haven't been added in years</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. The quality of articles in language/English is suitable for scientific communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Comments:</p> <p>Keywords are taken from all variables used in the research. For each bibliography used a year is added</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muliyati
Department, University & Country	Institute Bisnis dan Teknologi, Indonesia