

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_97428
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>IMPACT OF 1 YEAR OF LARGE-SCALE ANTIRETROVIRAL DRUG TREATMENT WITH LOW THERAPEUTIC COVERAGE ON THE ANTHROPOMETRIC PROFILES IN THE RURAL DISTRICT HOSPITAL OF EASTERN NIGERIA.</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. YES, It is a pleasure to review this work and this is an important and pertinent topic of related HIV/AIDS. I congratulate the authors.</p> <p>2. No, please modification to title and not need to this type of huge title. "Impact of Antiretroviral treatment and Anthropometric profiles among PLHIV in the rural district hospital of eastern Nigeria"</p> <p>3. Yes... its good explain.</p> <p>4. No...please follow the this type of structure of the manuscript Abstract Introduction Reviews of Literatures Methods: Objectives, Hypothesis, Participants, inclusion and exclusion criteria, Measures, Procedure. Result : Table and graphs Discussion Conclusion References.</p> <p>5. Yes. ....</p> <p>6. Yes its recent.</p> <p>7. Its good work and once again check the grammar and typing error.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Good language</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>No....</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ravikumar M B</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mandya Institute of Medical Sciences, India</b>