

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_112181
Title of the Manuscript:	ESTIMATION OF RADIO-FREQUENCY RADIATION EXPOSURE FROM CHOSEN MOBILE BASE STATIONS IN YENAGOA, BAYELSA STATE.
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The authors clearly stated in this study that they used an Electromog meter to measure factors such as Power Density (mW/m<sup>2</sup>), Electric field intensity (V/m), and magnetic field strength (uT) or (A/m). However, it seems that the authors may have overlooked the fact that the electromog meter used in their study may not be sufficiently accurate for such measurements. This weakness could be considered a significant flaw in the study, and it may have impacted the reported findings. Therefore, the authors need to provide compelling evidence to validate the use of an electromog meter in their study.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Seyed Mohammad Javad Mortazavi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Iran</b>