

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_113956
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Crop Geometry and Nitrogen Levels on Growth and Yield of Black Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This type of research is published enormously. So, it will not add scientific value to the community. The research lacks novelty.</p> <p>The title is suitable. It covers all the aspects of the paper precisely.</p> <p>The article does not include the necessity of this research for the scientific community. It tries to cover a general understanding however the research lacks the robustness.</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript is good.</p> <p>The manuscript used ANOVA for the analysis. But it will not give a clear picture of the robustness of the findings.</p> <p>Most of the citations are 10 years old and before. It is suggested to cite the recent article. The number of citations to back the claim are very limited.</p> <p>There are uneven number of figures and tables in the article.</p> <p>The initial soil and geographical conditions are not incorporated in the article.</p> <p>Based on the research and the analysis, the authors could not say if the increase in the yield is due to the fertilizer and nitrogen application procedure.</p> <p>What is the different crops growth on that farmland before that research.</p> <p>The cultivation practice is not clear.</p> <p>It is recommended to do the LSD or DMRT to find the superior treatments.</p> <p>The authors did not mention about the size of the plots and the data collection technique.</p> <p>There may be technical errors while conducting the research, so in my opinion there are irreparable deficiencies, so cannot be proceed for the publication.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The article needs revision both with spelling and grammar especially in the introduction section.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is better to cite the claims that are presented in the text. In the introduction section, the crop geometry is said to have an impact on growth and development, however it is not cited enough.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Subodh Raj Pandey
Department, University & Country	Howard University, United States