

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_113508
Title of the Manuscript:	Qualitative and Quantitative Aspects of Broccoli (<i>Brassica oleracea</i> var. <i>italica</i> Plenck.) Influenced by Plant Spacing and Varieties
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This manuscript is crucial for the scientific community as it addresses the optimization of broccoli cultivation in South Gujarat, providing valuable insights into the effects of plant spacing and varieties on growth, yield, and quality parameters. The findings contribute significantly to horticultural and agricultural knowledge, specifically in the context of broccoli cultivation.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive, effectively summarizing the manuscript's objectives, methodology, and key results.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Overall, the manuscript appears scientifically sound.</p> <p>The references are adequate, covering a range of studies relevant to broccoli cultivation. However, it's recommended to ensure that the most recent studies related to broccoli varieties, plant spacing, and cultivation practices are included, if available. This can further strengthen the scientific foundation of the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The inclusion of statistical details in the Results section would enhance the manuscript's scientific rigor.</p> <p>Consider providing more context on why certain varieties were chosen for the study, and if there are any unique characteristics or traits of these varieties that are relevant to the research.</p> <p>In the Future Scope section, suggest providing specific recommendations or avenues for future research based on the study's findings.</p> <p>Overall, the manuscript contributes valuable information to the scientific community, and with some minor enhancements, it can further strengthen its impact and clarity.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J. Ebenesar Anna Bagyam
Department, University & Country	Karpagam Academy of Higher Education, India