

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_114136
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges and Strategies in Adopting Google Workspace for Education: Perspectives from Educational Leaders in Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original research

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript centres on a theme that is important for scientific community.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>In my opinion, the content of the manuscript is scientifically correct, but although one of the strengths of the article is field research, the article adds very little to what is already reported in the literature.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent.</p> <p>Further comments: The authors may add further sections, for instance exploring: 1) what subjects have already been taught, or can be taught, using Google Workspace for Education; 2) whether there are differences in the perception of teachers due to their sex, age group, education (e.g. teachers with degrees in scientific-technological fields may be more prepared to use Google Workspace for Education than teachers with degrees in humanities), level and location of the school they serve in (e.g. schools located in city centers, urban outskirts, or in the countryside), teaching experience, etc. I have highlighted some terms and sentences that can be improved. The research questions should be introduced by a sentence. Sometimes a new paragraph should be started (e.g.: Technological barriers and gadget limitations from parents. – Accustomed) Sometimes spacing between words should be improved (e.g.: addressing this change[8]and) I suggest the authors not to use different verb tenses in the same table (e.g.: provide, encouraging, create, monitoring, etc.) The meaning of the sentence “Previous research in the context of using Google Workspace for Education that specifically identifies the strategies used to achieve this goal has yet to be found.” is unclear. Moreover, it is unusual, in scientific articles, to qualify the own work as “making and important contribution”, “having the potential to significantly contribute”.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>I suggest the authors to ask a native English speaker to review the (revised!) paper.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rossella Marisi
Department, University & Country	Conservatorio "L. D'Annunzio" – Pescara, Italy