

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_114136
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges and Strategies in Adopting Google Workspace for Education: Perspectives from Educational Leaders in Indonesia
Type of the Article	Research-based

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript titled "Challenges and Strategies in Adopting Google Workspace for Education: Perspectives from Educational Leaders in Indonesia" is very important, and it addresses pertinent issues worth considering in the Indonesian educational context and beyond. The author has tried to reveal several issues and present them in a logical order. Although minor corrections may still be needed to make the manuscript more comprehensive.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is clear and informative</p> <p>3. The abstract is very good as it addresses all the key issues of the article. It is well presented</p> <p>4. Although there are several modalities of writing a journal article, I would suggest the inclusion of the following missing subsections: the statement of the problem, the research objective(s), and the conceptual framework. However, this is subject to the author's willingness and the journal requirements. It is just a flip-side suggestion.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically appropriate and reasonable. It is a relevant study given the digital transformations the world is undergoing.</p> <p>6. All the references used are appropriate, and up-to-date.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Excellent. The manuscript is grammatically okay and communicates well to the world of scholars</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is generally very good, with minor issues that need to be addressed.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Not observed	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elisante Mutoka
Department, University & Country	University of Dar es Salaam, Tanzania