

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_114109
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Zmijewski Model's Accuracy in Predicting Potential Financial Distress for Indonesian Islamic Banking
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This manuscript is useful for scientific community. This research is conducted to verify the Zmijewski Model's Accuracy. They have considered the available data and verified it.</p> <p>2. Yes. The title "Analysis of Zmijewski Model's Accuracy in Predicting Potential Financial Distress for Indonesian Islamic Banking" is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Yes subsection and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The manuscript includes abstract, introduction, methods and result and discussion.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. The manuscript verifies the Zmijewski model's accuracy. It used purposive sampling technique. The researcher calculated the cut off value using Zmijewsk model and found the level of accuracy of the financial distress.</p> <p>6. Yes, References are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language e/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. Language is good</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Starting lines of the Abstract and introduction are same</p> <p>There is no separate section for Review of literature but the manuscript contains the reviews.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Indumathi N
Department, University & Country	B. S. Abdur Rahman Crescent Institute of Science and Technology, India