

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research.
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_113879
Title of the Manuscript:	Anthropocene Air Quality impact on the Kolkata people
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes. However, determining the importance of a manuscript titled "Anthropocene Air Quality Impact on the Kolkata People" for the scientific community requires considering several factors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relevance: Air quality is a significant concern globally, particularly in densely populated urban areas like Kolkata. Investigating the impact of anthropogenic activities on air quality in such areas is relevant to understanding public health risks and environmental sustainability. • Scope and Depth of Research: If the manuscript offers novel insights into the specific effects of anthropogenic activities on air quality in Kolkata, it could be valuable. The depth of research, including the methodology used, data collection techniques, and analysis, will influence its importance. • Contribution to Knowledge: Does the manuscript contribute new information or perspectives to the existing body of knowledge on air quality and its impact on public health? If it presents new findings, challenges existing theories, or proposes innovative solutions, it could be significant. • Practical Implications: The manuscript's potential to inform policy decisions, urban planning strategies, or public health interventions in Kolkata or similar urban environments adds to its importance. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ In summary, if the manuscript effectively addresses these factors and contributes valuable insights into the impact of anthropogenic activities on air quality in Kolkata, it would likely be considered important for the scientific community. <p>2. yes</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article does not appear to be comprehensive. A well-written abstract should succinctly summarize the main objectives, methods, results, and conclusions of the research. However, if the abstract is poorly constructed, it may fail to adequately convey these essential elements. In such cases, readers may struggle to grasp the full scope and significance of the study from the abstract alone, potentially hindering their understanding and assessment of the research findings</p> <p>4.yes</p> <p>5. yes</p> <p>6. Use appropriate citation style: Different academic disciplines and publications have specific citation styles they prefer. Common citation styles include APA, MLA, Chicago, and Harvard. Make sure to use the style specified by your instructor or publication guidelines.</p> <p>For example "Understanding the local air quality causatives and their impact on human health and their susceptibility to Kolkata cosmopolis by reducing pollutants like carbon and CFCs, (SDG-3), Ghosh et al, 2020^[54], https://www.biomedcentral.com/collections/apah."</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>In the manuscripts, certain language-related issues need to be addressed. One of the major issues is readability; the language used in the manuscripts is not always easy to comprehend. To improve the readability of the manuscripts, the author need to work on a few areas. For instance, the author need to eliminate redundancy and ensure that the language is concise. The author also need to ensure that there is a smooth transition from one sentence to the next and that the text flows smoothly. In addition to these, the author need to work on the phrasing of the text and suggest better wording where required. By addressing these issues, the author can make the manuscripts more comprehensible and reader-friendly.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments	Upon thorough examination of the feedback provided by the reviewer, I would strongly advise the author to meticulously review and revise their article. By doing so, the author will have the opportunity to implement the recommended changes and improve the overall structure, coherence, and impact of their writing. Taking the time to revise based on feedback will result in a polished and refined piece of work that will be well-received by readers.	
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Getahun Mekuria Abera
Department, University & Country	Debre Berhan University, Ethiopia