

ReviewForm 1.7

JournalName:	ArchivesofCurrentResearchInternational
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_ACRI_114282
TitleoftheManuscript:	EFFECT OF IRRIGATION WITH CORDIA VERBENACEA AND PROPOLIS SOLUTION ON THE BONDING RESISTANCE OF FILLING MATERIAL TO THE ROOT CANAL DENTIN: INVITRO STUDY
Typeofthe Article	OriginalResearchArticle

ReviewForm 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, certainly. The manuscript is important for scientific community. The new aspects of use of different materials and performing in vitro studies can enhance their effectiveness resulting in more successful outcomes.</p> <p>2. The article title is suitable. However, the title can be 'EFFECTIVENESS OF CORDIA VERBENACEA AND PROPOLIS AS IRRIGATORY SOLUTION ON THE BONDING RESISTANCE OF FILLING MATERIAL TO THE ROOT DENTIN: IN VITRO STUDY'.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structures are appropriate. The second subsection should be titled as 'MATERIALS AND METHODS' instead of 'MATERIAL AND METHODS'.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct according to me. 6. There are references are quite sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The language of the manuscript is clear and suitable for its readers and scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elise Shahin Shamsuddin
Department, University & Country	Chattogram International Dental College, University of Chittagong, Bangladesh