

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_109710
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF THE VALIDITY OF ASSUMPTION OF ZERO NET MIGRATION IN ESTIMATION OF ADULT MORTALITY USING PRESTON INTEGRATED APPROACH.
Type of the Article	Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is significant as it introduces a method to assess the validity of assuming zero net migration in estimating adult mortality, crucial for improving demographic modeling in developing countries. 2. The title effectively summarizes the study's focus on evaluating the assumption of zero net migration in estimating adult mortality using the Preston integrated approach. 3. Yes, the abstract provides a comprehensive overview by highlighting the study's objectives, methodology, key findings, and implications regarding the estimation of adult mortality while addressing the assumption of zero net migration in non-stable populations. 4. Yes, the manuscript follows a structured format with subsections that appropriately detail the methodology, results, and discussion, facilitating a clear presentation of the study's approach and findings regarding the assessment of net migration's impact on estimating adult mortality. 5. The manuscript employs established demographic methodologies and principles, providing a systematic approach to assess the impact of net migration on estimating adult mortality. However, without access to the detailed data and specific analysis, a complete assessment of its scientific accuracy would require a closer examination of the methods and results. 6. The references provided cover a range of relevant demographic methodologies and studies, contributing to the manuscript's credibility. However, to enhance the comprehensiveness of the research, including more recent studies or methodologies in demographic estimation, such as advancements in statistical modeling or migration impact assessment, could further strengthen the manuscript's references. Considerations might include studies published within the last five years that focus on net migration's influence on demographic estimates in developing countries or advancements in demographic modeling techniques. 	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The language and English quality in the article generally appear suitable for scholarly communication, conveying complex demographic concepts and methodologies effectively. However, minor revisions or proofreading for clarity and coherence could enhance its overall readability. 	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The article seems acceptable in its current state, offering valuable insights into assessing the impact of net migration on estimating adult mortality. However, to enhance its overall quality, consider the following revision suggestions:</p> <p>Clarity and Structure: Ensure a smoother flow between sections by providing clearer transitions and organizing the content in a more cohesive structure.</p> <p>Language and Style: Review for sentence structure and clarity, aiming for a consistent academic tone throughout the manuscript.</p> <p>Data Presentation: Consider providing more detailed explanations or examples to aid readers' understanding of the methodology and empirical results.</p> <p>Recent References: Include more recent references to further strengthen the literature review and ensure the incorporation of the latest advancements in demographic estimation.</p> <p>Clarification on Methodology: Offer additional clarification or examples in the methodology section to assist readers in understanding the step-by-step process of assessing net migration's impact on adult mortality estimation.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	Overall, the article is substantial and valuable to the field, but these revisions could improve its accessibility and scholarly impact.	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fuat Polat
Department, University & Country	Dr. Siyami Ersek Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery Education Research Hospital, Turkey