

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_112693
Title of the Manuscript:	The impact of finance, infrastructure and training on the performance of SMEs in Pakistan
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript lacks clarity on its significance for the scientific community. A few sentences highlighting the broader implications and contributions of the research would enhance its relevance.</p> <p>2. The title is somewhat vague and may not effectively convey the scope of the article. Consider refining it to accurately reflect the content. If needed, an alternative title could be suggested for better clarity.</p> <p>3. The abstract provides a general overview, but lacks specific details. It should be revised to include key findings, methodology, and conclusions, making it more comprehensive and informative.</p> <p>4. The manuscript structure needs some adjustment. Ensure that subsections are logically organized, and the flow of information is smooth. Clear transitions between sections will improve overall readability.</p> <p>5. The manuscript appears scientifically sound, but certain sections may benefit from additional clarification or data. Review and provide more details where necessary to strengthen the scientific integrity.</p> <p>6. The reference list is acceptable but could be enhanced by including more recent and relevant sources. Suggest specific additions or updates to ensure the bibliography reflects the latest advancements in the field.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The language quality is generally suitable for scholarly communication, but there are minor grammatical issues and awkward phrasings. A thorough proofread for language refinement is recommended.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md Mehedi Hasan Emon
Department, University & Country	American International University, Bangladesh