

Suppression of sugarcane red rot disease through its rhizosphericmycoflora

Abstract

Red rot disease of sugarcane is so devastating in nature that it has been referred to as “cancer of sugarcane”. The sugar industry in India suffered losses more than 500 million US dollars every year due to red rot. So, there is a need to look over the management and control of the disease. Biological control method is an alternative method of chemical pesticides which are an innovative, cost effective and eco-friendly approach. Soil samples were collected from sugarcane rhizosphere of four different varieties viz., CoPk 05191 and CoLk 94184 (resistant to red rot) and CoJ 64 and Co 1148 (susceptible to red rot) planted at ICAR-Indian Institute of Sugarcane Research, Lucknow experimental farm. The samples were subjected for the isolation of rhizosphericmycoflora on Potato Dextrose Agar media and antagonistic activities of the isolates were tested against red rot pathogens (Cf 07, Cf 08 and Cf 09). Isolates showing more than 50 % inhibition with all the three pathotypes (Cf 07, Cf 08 and Cf 09) were selected for further study. Among the twelve selected isolates used for field experiment for the management of red rot, isolate T16 was found to be highly effective. The suppression of red rot offered by rhizospheric isolates is probably due to induced systemic resistance in sugarcane plants or by the enzymatic action of metabolites produced by the rhizosphericmycoflora. The different levels of red rot infected seed cane viz., 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, 25%, 30%, 35% and 40% were treated with MHAT and planted along with healthy seed canes, infected seed canes, as a control to work out the losses caused by different level of red rot seed infection. The result revealed that a yield loss was recorded with the infected seed. The losses were directly proportionate with the level of red rot infected seed.

Keywords: Sugarcane, rhizosphericmycoflora, *Colletotrichum falcatum*, antagonistic activity.

INTRODUCTION

Sugarcane is an important agro-industrial and cash crop of India as well as the world [1] and holds an important position in the Indian economy. It is grown in 123 countries on about 24 million hectares land [2]. There are various biotic and abiotic factors responsible for its yield loss but diseases are one of the major causes of concern. About a hundred diseases of sugarcane are reported from different parts of the world [3]. Diseases in sugarcane are mainly caused by fungi, bacteria, viruses and phytoplasma. And among them, fungal diseases got international importance due to its impact on yield loss [4]. The estimated average loss in crop production due to fungal disease is about 18-31% [5]. And the major fungal diseases of sugarcane are red rot, wilt and smut whereas red rot disease is considered the main constraint for sugarcane production in India.

Red rot disease of sugarcane is so devastating in nature that it has been referred to as “cancer of sugarcane”. The fungus is prevalent mainly in the Indian sub-continent, keeps on producing new pathogenic strains leading to the breakdown of resistance in the newly released varieties. Red rot pathogen is highly variable in nature. As a result resistant sugarcane varieties gets prone to red rot within a short time period resulted in failure of some important Indian commercial sugarcane varieties. The sugar industry in India suffered losses more than 500 million US dollars every year due to red rot. The annual yield of sugar cane is diminished severely due to fungal diseases. Red rot is the most devastating fungal disease that reduces cane yield by 5–50% worldwide. [6]. *Colletotrichum falcatum* shows a great diversity in virulence and new physiological races have been frequently reported from different parts of the world.

Sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) is known to have microbial organisms associated with its rhizosphere which have potential antagonistic activity against other microbes. An antagonistic rhizospheric microbe inhibits the growth of pathogenic microorganisms and has been found to colonize the plant rhizosphere. Rhizospheric microorganisms also play an important role in many processes of crop production [7]. Keeping in view of above finding there is a need to explore the sugarcane rhizospheric microbes for the purpose of disease management. A large number of fungi had been isolated from the rhizosphere soil of sugarcane viz., *Aspergillus*, *Rhizopus*, *Penicillium*, *Trichoderma* and *Alternaria*. *Trichoderma* was found to be predominant in the rhizosphere of sugarcane [8]. *Trichoderma* is one the most commonly isolated fungi from rhizospheric soil with high biocontrol potential. The fungi *Trichoderma* may suppress the growth of the pathogen population in the rhizosphere through competition and thus reduce disease development. Biocontrol of plant pathogens with *Trichoderma* has been established by several workers [9]. *Trichoderma harzianum* strain Th 37 isolated from sugarcane rhizosphere of Kushinagar, Uttar Pradesh, was found most potent biocontrol agent for red rot disease of sugarcane [10].

There are three possible ways to control the red rot disease: (i) use of a resistant variety, (ii) treatment with fungicides and (iii) biological control through antagonistic microorganisms. The chemical fungicides not only develop fungicide resistant strains but also accumulate in ground water and food as residues. So, there is a need for the development of alternative methods which are non-toxic to the humans and animals, safe to the environment and rapidly biodegradable. Biological control methods have the advantage of being non-toxic to the environment, an innovative, cost effective and eco-friendly approach. Use of bio-pesticides is a potential novel tool which can be economical, long lasting, free from residual side effects and not toxic to soil microbial diversity for ecological balance. Since mycoflora may affect the permeability of root cells, metabolism of roots, absorption and excretion of certain compounds in root exudates as the resultant of their metabolism, they may play an important role in plant defence against pathogen. Therefore, the present study is based on comparative analysis of mycoflora isolated from rhizospheric region of red rot resistant and susceptible sugarcane genotypes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Location and soil characteristics:

Field experiments were conducted at ICAR-Indian Institute of Sugarcane Research, Lucknow located at 26.56°N, 80.52°E and 111 m above the sea level. The climate is semi-arid sub-tropical with dry hot summer and cold winter. The experimentation field soil was fine loamy non-calcareous mixed hyperthermic Typic Haploquept. The soil has pH 6.8, and organic carbon from 0.4 to 0.5 %. Field area per plot was 6 x 5.4 m with three replications in randomized block design. The crop was planted in the first week of February using healthy three-bud cane setts @ 38000 setts/ha at 90 cm row to row spacing [11]. The laboratory experiments were conducted in Crop Protection Division, ICAR-Indian Institute of Sugarcane Research, Lucknow.

Sample collection and isolation of rhizospheric mycoflora:

The rhizospheric sampling has been conducted by keeping holistic approach to isolate all possible mycoflora. It includes two type of sugarcane variety, one was resistant to red rot and other was susceptible to red rot of sugarcane. In the line CoPk 05191 and CoLk 94184 (resistant), CoJ 64 and Co 1148 (susceptible) were explored, planted at research farm of ICAR-IISR, Lucknow. The samples were collected aseptically after 120 DAP and transported to lab for further process. 1 gm of air dried soil sample was suspended in 9ml of autoclaved distilled water and shaken well. After sedimentation of solid particles, the suspension was serially diluted up to 10^{-5} to 10^{-6} . 1ml of the each dilution was added to the sterile PDA plates and was spread evenly and incubated at $27 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ for 6-7 days. After 6-7 days incubation, fungal colonies were picked up, purified by single spore culturing [12] and were maintained on PDA slants. Sum total of sixteen isolates were selected. The selected sixteen isolates were studied for the PGPR related tests. Based on the results of biochemical and other tests twelve isolates were selected and these twelve isolates were further used for the field experiment. The experiment was conducted in three replicates by following the completely randomized block design. The selected twelve isolates belongs the genera *Trichoderma*. The isolates were identified on the basis colony morphology; colonies were selected and further purified by repeated sub culturing and maintained on PDA and stored at 4°C . Identification keys developed by Baijal and Mehrotra, [13] and Bisset, [14, 15] were used to identify the microorganisms.

Growth and cultural characterization of rhizospheric mycoflora

Cultural characteristics such as colony appearances, mycelial textures, spores and pigmentations were observed on PDA and growth rate via colony diameter were measured. The plates were incubated at $27 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ for 7 days. The fungal morphology was studied macroscopically by observing the colony features (color, shape, size and hyphae) and microscopically by a compound microscope with a digital camera using a lactophenol cotton blue stained slide mounted with a small portion of the mycelium [16].

Antagonistic activity of rhizospheric mycoflora against red rot pathogens

The isolates of sugarcane rhizospheric mycoflora were tested for the antagonistic activities by following the dual culture techniques against red rot pathogens Cf 07, Cf 08 and Cf 09 [17]. In dual cultures, 5 mm disc of 7 days old culture of red rot pathogen and the targeted mycoflora were placed in a single plate and incubated at 27°C. The colony diameter of both the fungus was recorded after 24 h duration for five days. The rhizospheric isolates showing more than 50 % inhibition with all the three designated pathotypes Cf 07, Cf 08 and Cf 09 were selected and further used for the field experimentation.

Percentage Inhibition was recorded by formula [18]

$$I \% = 100 (C-T)/C$$

Where,

I = Percent inhibition over control

C = Growth of pathogen in control

T = Growth of pathogen in treatment

Field evaluation of rhizospheric mycoflora for red rot management

Field experiments were conducted at ICAR-IISR farm to find out the effect of rhizospheric isolates on the productivity, millable cane and yield of sugarcane by using isolate treated seeds. Twelve rhizospheric isolates showing good antagonistic activity were used for the seed treatment for managing red rot disease of sugarcane. 10% diseased seeds of sugarcane varieties CoJ 64 and Co 0238 were used for the study. Infected seeds were developed by inoculating the cane with the red rot pathogen. All the treatments were replicated thrice in a randomized block design in 6 x 5.4 m plot. Three bud sets of sugarcane variety CoJ 64 and Co0238 were dipped or treated with in spore suspension at the @ 10⁶ spores/ml of bioagents for overnight and planted at row to row distance 90 cm. Observation of percent germination (after 45 days of planting), millable canes, juice quality and yield t/ha was recorded at the time of harvest.

Effect of red rot seed borne inoculums on the performance of variety:

Eight different set of red rot infected and healthy Co 0238 sugarcane variety seeds were used as planting material viz., 5% infected + 95% healthy seed, 10% infected + 90% healthy seed, 15% infected + 85% healthy seed, 20% infected + 80% healthy seed, 25% infected + 75% healthy seed, 30% infected + 70% healthy seed, 35% infected + 65% healthy seed, 40% infected + 60% healthy seed, healthy canes and MHAT treated 10% infected canes. Infected seed material was developed by inoculating the red rot pathogen in mature plant of Co 0238 variety before 2 months of planting and these infected canes were used as a planting material. MHAT treatment was given for 2 h 30 min at 54°C. All the treatments were replicated thrice in a randomized block design in 6 x 5.4 m plot. Germination percentage, millable canes, average single cane weight, average length, average girth and average number of nodes, percent infection and yield t/ha was recorded at the time of harvest.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Cultural characters of different sugarcane rhizosphericmycoflora on Potato Dextrose Agar media

The isolated sugarcane rhizosphericmycoflora were subjected for their morphological and cultural characterization. In the study cultural characteristics and colony growth rate of sixteen isolates has been worked out. The results summarized in table 1 provides a strong evidence that sugarcane rhizosphere is full of diverse array of mycoflora exhibiting a great morphological diversity. The major and remarkable macroscopic features in species identification were the colony features, including diameter after 24h, color of conidia, mycelia colour, substrate colour, colony texture and shape[19]. Several workers have advocated using of morphology based approach in combination with variations in colony character, morphological characters and growth rates at different temperatures as an effective method for characterizing and studying inter and intra-species diversity among the *Trichoderma* strains[20, 21]. Joshi and Misra, [22] studied for the colony characters and found variability among the isolates. Green conidia was visible within 48 h for isolates STr-13 and STr-23, whereas in the other eight isolates green conidia were observed between 48 and 60 h time duration. Yellow diffusing pigment in medium was observed in isolates STr-10, 16 and 29. Similarly, yellow or orange colour pigment production in media is characteristic of *T. harzianum* strains whereas bright yellow green pigmentation in media is characteristic of *T. longibrachitaum* species[23].

Table: 1. Cultural characters of different sugarcane rhizosphericmycofloraon Potato Dextrose Agar media

S. No.	Isolates	Colony characters						Radial growth (cm)						Sporulation initiation (h)
		Colony colour	Mycelial colour	Spore colour	Appearance	Margin	Pigment	24 h	48 h	72 h	96 h	120 h	144 h	
1.	T1	Dark green	White	Green	Flat	Smooth	Yellowish orange	0.2	1.3	2.8	4.5 (F)	F	-	72
2.	T2	Dark green	Dull white	Green	Hairy	Smooth	Yellow	0.7	1.8	3.2	F	F	-	48
3.	T3	Dark green	Cottony white	Green	Concentric	Smooth	Yellow	0.7	1.7	3.5	F	F	-	72
4.	T4	Yellowish green	White	Yellowish green	Fluffy	Smooth	Dull yellow	0.6	1.5	2.8	F	F	-	48
5.	T6	Light green	Cottony white	Light green	Hairy	Irregular	Dull yellow	0.6	1.7	3.2	F	F	-	72
6.	T8	Light green	Dull white	Yellowish green	Flat	Smooth	Yellow	0.7	1.8	3.5	F	F	-	72
7.	T9	Dark green	Off white	Green	Concentric	Smooth	Dull Yellow	0.8	1.9	3.8	F	F	-	48
8.	T13	Dark green	White	Green	Concentric	Smooth	-	0.4	1.5	2.8	4.1	F	-	48
9.	T14	Dark green	White	Dark Green	Flat	Smooth	Yellow	0.3	1.2	3.2	4.3	F	-	48
10.	T15	Light green	White	Light green	Concentric	Smooth	-	0.2	1.2	3.0	4.3	F	-	72
11.	T16	Dark green	Cottony white	Dark green	Fluffy	Irregular	Yellow	0.3	1.5	3.2	F	-	-	48
12.	T17	Green	White	Green	Concentric	Smooth	Dull yellow	0.3	1.6	3.0	F	-	-	48
13.	T18	Light green	Cottony white	Yellowish green	Hairy	Irregular	Dull yellow	0.2	1.3	2.4	3.2	F	-	48
14.	T27	Green	Dull white	Light green	Fluffy	Smooth	Dull yellow	0.8	1.6	3.2	F	-	-	72
15.	T28	Green	Dull white	Light green	Hairy	Smooth	Yellow	0.8	1.7	3.5	F	-	-	48
16.	T35	Dark green	White	Green	Concentric	Irregular	-	0.2	1.8	3.6	F	-	-	48

Evaluation of sugarcane rhizospheric mycoflora for antagonistic potential against Cf 07, Cf 08 and Cf 09

The rhizospheric microflora have been explored for the management of red rot disease of sugarcane from the rhizospheric soil of four sugarcane varieties. The sixteen rhizospheric microflora isolated from different sugarcane variety pertains as seven isolates of CoJ 64 viz., T1, T2, T3, T4, T6, T8, and T9; six isolates of Co 1148 viz., T13, T14, T15, T16, T17, and T18; two isolates of CoPk 05191 viz., T27, and T28; and one isolates of CoLk 94184 viz., T35. These isolates were subjected for the selection of potential antagonist on the basis of antagonistic activity exhibited against Cf 07, Cf 08 and Cf 09. The results summarized in table 2 representing antagonistic activity against Cf 07 revealed that after 120 h time duration, maximum inhibition of red rot pathogen Cf 07 was recorded 90.00% by two isolates namely T13 and T28; against Cf 08 maximum inhibition of 96.77% was recorded with isolate T2 and against Cf 09 isolate T3 recorded maximum inhibition of 93.33% followed by minimum of 53.33%, 51.61%, 50.00% inhibition by isolate T35 with pathogen Cf 07, Cf 08 and Cf 09 respectively(Fig.1).Overall, it is evident from the experiment result that the two isolates T13 and T28 exhibited consistently high potential to antagonize the red rot pathogen Cf 07; isolate T2 against red rot pathogen Cf 08; whereas isolate T3 exhibited high potential to antagonize the red rot pathogen Cf 09 at 48h, 72h, 96h and 120h.

Thus, the results obtained from the study clearly indicates that sugarcane rhizospheric soils harbor a diversity of beneficial mycoflora which may be used as a biocontrol agents due to their interesting metabolic activity and their antifungal potential displayed toward target pathogenic fungi *C. falcatum* pathotypes Cf 07, Cf 08 and Cf 09. Different mode of action are involved in acting as a biocontrol agents and these action may be competition, antibiosis, production of lytic enzymes, mycoparasitism and induced systemic resistance (ISR). All the above observations reviewed supported our findings that soil rhizospheric mycoflora are potential enough to antagonize pathogenic fungi *Colletotrichum falcatum* and these finding will help in future endeavor on biocontrol of *Colletotrichum falcatum* pathotypes. Sumana and Singh, [17], screened 231 microbial isolates for their antifungal activity against the red rot pathogen *Colletotrichum falcatum* using dual culture technique. 12 bacterial isolates, 4 fungal isolates and 5 *Actinomycete* isolates were found to be antagonistic to *C. falcatum* while none of the mould isolates could inhibit the growth of *C. falcatum*. Haque *et al.*[24] finding revealed that among many of the *Trichoderma species* very few of them are reported to be useful as a biocontrol and those few *Trichoderma species* are *T. viridae*, *T. haziarum*, *T. atroviridae* and *T. asperellum*. Out of the ten isolates, eight isolates which were isolated from the sugarcane agro-ecosystem exhibited significant reduction in *C. falcatum* growth over control with a maximum of 51.5% inhibition in colony area and inhibition of 30.3% in colony diameter[22]. The fungi *Trichoderma* interacts with the other microorganisms, mainly with pathogenic fungi and these interactions include hyperparasitism, antibiosis or competition[25]. The competition may be for food, nutrients or space by modifying environmental conditions which suppresses the activity of other pathogenic fungi [26]. These *Trichoderma* fungi produce a rich mixture of antifungal enzymes such as

chitinases and β -1, 3 glucanases. Our findings are in accordance with study conducted by Joshi and Misra, [22] different *Trichoderma* isolates may vary considerably in their inhibitory activity against the specific pathogen. A total of 226 sugarcane rhizosphere-associated bacterial strains from the six different cultivars were screened against *C. falcatum* strains of (cfNAV, cfCHA, and cf8436) for the suppression of red rot disease. On the basis of mycelial growth inhibition in dual culture assay, 26 bacteria strains were selected [27]. Varma *et al.*[28] screened isolates for their growth promoting traits and antagonistic activity against *C. falcatum*. Rhizobacteria 7 and 19 were found promising and were selected for sett treatment and evaluated for sett germination and seedling growth. Disease severity was found to be less in treatments treated with Rhizobacteria 19, was characterized as *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* using 16S rRNA sequence based homology. Aslam *et al.*[29] collected 70 sugarcane genotypes from sugarcane research institute, Ayub Agriculture Research Institute (AARI) and sugarcane industry (Shakrganj Sugar Mills), Pakistan and were screened for antifungal activity. The antagonism assay exposed that 10 bacterial isolates out of 46 showed great potential for antifungal activity against *C. falcatum*. The molecular characterization of these microbes revealed that the isolates were belonging to *Trichoderma harzianum* *Pseudomonas putida*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Pseudomonas fluorescence*, and several other important taxa. Suppression of *Colletotrichum falcatum* by rhizobacteria has been reported in earlier study conducted by Zia *et al.*[30] and these rhizobacteria suppressed the *Colletotrichum*, a pathogen of sugarcane red rot, and induced the defense response in sugarcane. *Trichoderma* spp. isolated from plant rhizosphere have promising effect to showing antifungal activity against red rot of sugarcane during both *in vitro* and *in vivo* conditions [31]. Microorganisms with antifungal activity have been used to control sugarcane red rot in the field such as, *Bacillus* spp. [32], *Pseudomonas* spp. [1], and *Bacillus velezensis* YC89 [33].

Table: 2. Antagonistic potential of rhizospheric mycoflora against Cf 07, Cf 08 and Cf 09

S. No.	Isolate code	120 h		120 h		120 h	
		Mycelial growth CF 07 (cm)	% Inhibition	Mycelial growth CF 08 (cm)	% Inhibition	Mycelial growth CF 09 (cm)	% Inhibition
1.	T1	1.1	63.33	0.9	70.96	0.6	80.00
2.	T2	1.2	60.00	0.1	96.77	0.4	86.67
3.	T3	0.8	73.33	0.3	90.32	0.2	93.33
4.	T4	1.0	66.67	0.4	87.09	1.1	63.33
5.	T6	1.5	50.00	0.8	74.19	1.0	66.67
6.	T8	1.3	56.67	0.2	93.54	0.3	90.00
7.	T9	0.9	70.00	0.9	70.96	1.0	66.67
8.	T13	0.3	90.00	0.3	90.32	1.4	53.33
9.	T14	0.5	83.33	0.6	80.64	0.9	70.00
10.	T15	0.7	76.67	0.2	93.54	1.1	63.33
11.	T16	0.7	76.67	0.2	93.54	0.6	80.00
12.	T17	1.1	63.33	0.4	87.09	0.8	73.33
13.	T18	0.6	80.00	0.7	77.41	1.2	60.00
14.	T27	0.4	86.67	1.2	61.29	1.2	60.00
15.	T28	0.3	90.00	1.3	58.06	0.7	76.67
16.	T35	1.4	53.33	1.5	51.61	1.5	50.00

Control (Cf 07)	3.0	-	-	-	-	-
Control (Cf 08)	-	-	3.1	-	-	-
Control (Cf 09)	-	-	-	-	3.0	-

Figure: 1. Antagonistic activity of rhizosphericmycoflora against Cf 07, Cf 08 and Cf 09



Efficacy evaluation of rhizosphericmycoflora for red rot management under field condition

Red rot is one of the major sugarcane diseases and for the control of this disease, microbes are being evaluated as a biocontrol agent to manage it. In the line the sugarcane seed were treated with twelve different isolates and planted with 10% untreated infected seed and healthy seeds. Results obtained from the field experiments is being summarized in table 3 which reveals that out of twelve isolates T16 was recorded for the maximum yield with both the varieties CoJ 64 and Co 0238 for both healthy and 10% infected cane seeds. Treating healthy canes with T16 resulted 85,185 millable canes owing yield of 102.22 t/ha with an increase yield of 4.45 % as compared to the healthy control without any treatments. The highest yielding isolate was found significant as compared to lowest yielding isolate T18. Control of red rot disease may be due to direct parasitic action of *Trichoderma* isolates or may be due to systemic resistance induced in sugarcane plant. Penetration of the fungal (biocontrol agent) hyphae increasing the

concentrations of bioactive compounds and subsequent development of the fungus is suppressed. [34].Reduction in the symptom severity of the disease can also be considered as an important aspect for improving the tolerance of the plants. Natural spread of the red rot disease of sugarcane during the month of July- August in field should be kept under check during the application of seed treatment.

A similar study was conducted by Singh *et al.*[10]and reported for *Trichoderma harzianum* strain T37 to be efficient in controlling red rot disease of sugarcane. Howell *et al.*[35]examined the role of terpenoid compounds in disease control. And also found the terpenoid synthesis and peroxidase activity was increased in the roots of plants treated with *Trichoderma virens*. Biological control of the plants by induction of defense response i.e., terpenoid synthesis by *Trichoderma virens* can be an important mechanism. Secondary metabolites produced by the *Trichoderma* isolates viz., STr-52, STr-83 and STr-108 had shown high inhibitory activity against the red rot pathogen *Colletotrichum falcatum*[36]. All the six *Trichoderma* treatments resulted in considerable reduction (29.5-56.3 %) in red rot disease over untreated control[37]. Germination failure was found to be much less (13.7-27.7 %) in case of the *Trichoderma* treatments. *Trichoderma* strains resulted in improved germination as compared to the untreated control with varying germination percent from 26.4- 31.5 % across the six different treatments[37]. The results obtained from the field studies conducted by Joshi *et al.*[37] revealed that the application of talc-based formulation of the *Trichoderma* isolates by the three different methods viz., sett treatment, soil application and combination of sett and soil treatment was found effective in suppressing sugarcane red rot disease. Also, most of the studies have focused on use of spores and/or use of secondary metabolites of *Trichoderma* for the management of red rot disease of sugarcane [38, 39].However, in the previous study Singh *et al.*[39] in case of sugarcane, use of *Trichoderma harzianum* have been explored for suppression of red rot disease of sugarcane. Strains of *Trichoderma longibrachiatum* have been previously reported as an effective biocontrol agent to a number of plant pathogens[40, 41]. Varma *et al.*[28] study suggested the disease severity was found to be less in treatments where the single noded setts and seedlings treated with Rhizobacteria 19. Isolates tested for red rot management under field conditions provides the scope and potential of utilizing *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* in reducing the red rot severity under natural disease conditions besides plant growth promotion.

Table: 3. Efficacy evaluation of rhizospheric mycoflora for red rot management under field condition

S. No	Treatment	% Germination		Millable cane		Average Single cane wt. (kg)		Average length (m)		Average girth (cm)		Average node		Yield (t/ha)		Brix		Sucrose %		Purity		% Increase/ Decrease in yield	
		Co 0238	CoJ 64	Co 0238	CoJ 64	Co 0238	CoJ 64	Co 0238	Co J 64	Co 0238	Co J 64	Co 0238	Co J 64	Co 0238	CoJ 64	Co 0238	CoJ 64	Co 0238	CoJ 64	Co 0238	CoJ 64	Co 0238	CoJ 64
1.	T4 (HC)	41.98	31.24	77,395	85,185	1.20	0.90	2.0	1.5	2.5	2.0	18	20	92.87	76.66	21.48	19.74	18.83	17.48	87.69	88.53	4.90 % (D)	3.21 % (I)
2.	T4 (DC)	42.40	28.59	69,135	79,629	1.05	0.75	1.7	1.3	2.1	1.8	20	19	72.59	59.72	21.21	19.67	18.75	17.45	87.54	88.45	5.31 % (I)	4.42 % (I)
3.	T6 (HC)	37.46	34.96	75,925	83,950	1.20	0.85	2.1	1.5	2.4	1.9	19	16	91.11	71.35	20.81	19.87	18.09	17.63	86.92	88.79	6.66 % (D)	2.10 % (D)
4.	T6 (DC)	39.87	28.73	69,753	80,246	1.00	0.65	1.6	1.3	2.1	1.5	20	21	69.75	52.15	20.23	19.32	17.94	17.52	86.89	88.80	2.47 % (I)	3.15 % (D)
5.	T8 (HC)	38.33	38.48	83,950	88,271	1.20	0.85	2.1	1.5	2.4	1.7	20	19	100.74	75.03	21.25	19.78	18.58	17.59	87.45	88.95	2.97 % (I)	1.58 % (I)
6.	T8 (DC)	36.62	31.28	77,777	80,864	1.00	0.75	1.8	1.4	2.0	1.2	18	20	77.77	60.64	20.15	19.34	18.46	17.58	87.41	88.98	10.49 % (I)	5.34 % (I)
7.	T13 (HC)	26.30	29.00	82,098	86,419	1.15	0.70	1.8	1.4	2.3	1.5	19	17	94.41	60.49	20.41	18.77	18.43	16.64	90.30	88.58	3.36 % (D)	12.96 % (D)
8.	T13 (DC)	27.68	31.00	70,370	80,246	1.00	0.70	1.6	1.5	2.2	1.6	18	16	70.37	56.17	20.45	18.15	18.49	16.69	90.15	88.57	3.09 % (I)	0.87 % (I)
9.	T14 (HC)	29.67	22.22	82,716	89,375	1.10	0.80	1.8	1.5	2.4	1.8	18	15	90.98	71.50	20.24	18.98	17.53	16.49	86.61	86.84	6.79 % (D)	1.95 % (D)
10.	T14 (DC)	28.79	29.74	74,074	72,222	0.90	0.60	1.5	1.4	1.9	1.0	17	18	66.66	43.33	20.13	18.67	17.50	16.48	86.49	86.89	0.62 % (D)	11.97 % (D)
11.	T15 (HC)	27.93	28.56	81,481	82,716	1.10	0.80	1.9	1.6	2.3	1.8	20	18	89.62	66.17	20.42	19.10	18.42	16.76	90.21	87.86	8.15 % (D)	7.28 % (D)
12.	T15 (DC)	29.45	29.32	70,987	81,481	0.90	0.70	1.4	1.3	2.0	1.6	18	17	63.88	57.03	20.23	18.85	18.40	16.69	90.24	87.79	3.40 % (D)	1.73 % (I)
13.	T16 (HC)	35.87	39.38	85,185	87,037	1.20	0.90	2.0	1.6	2.5	1.9	22	23	102.22	78.33	21.48	19.47	19.24	17.39	89.61	89.31	4.45 % (I)	4.88 % (I)
14.	T16 (DC)	32.93	35.43	72,839	79,629	1.00	0.80	1.8	1.4	2.0	1.9	19	22	72.83	63.70	21.16	19.21	19.19	17.41	89.59	89.29	5.55 % (I)	8.40 % (I)
15.	T17 (HC)	34.28	37.26	80,864	84,567	1.15	0.85	2.0	1.2	2.2	2.0	21	18	92.99	71.88	20.89	19.42	19.08	17.32	91.37	89.25	4.78 % (D)	1.57 % (D)
16.	T17 (DC)	31.76	35.69	66,667	80,864	1.00	0.70	1.8	1.3	2.0	1.6	19	20	66.66	56.60	20.32	19.03	19.05	17.35	91.39	89.23	0.62 % (D)	1.3 % (I)
17.	T18 (HC)	23.67	19.34	18,518	21,604	0.90	0.60	1.7	1.3	1.9	1.2	20	14	16.66	12.96	20.31	18.84	17.57	16.61	86.52	88.27	81.11 % (D)	60.49 % (D)
18.	T18 (DC)	20.45	17.54	14,197	17,283	0.80	0.50	1.4	1.2	1.9	1.0	17	12	11.35	08.64	20.13	18.67	17.46	16.59	86.49	88.30	55.93 % (D)	46.66 % (D)
19.	T27 (HC)	35.87	41.66	83,333	87,654	1.20	0.90	2.2	1.6	2.3	1.8	22	21	99.99	78.89	21.44	19.78	19.01	18.06	88.72	91.31	1.89 % (I)	5.4 % (I)
20.	T27	32.65	37.96	68,518	79,012	1.10	0.75	1.8	1.5	2.4	1.5	20	20	68.51	59.25	20.89	19.62	18.89	18.10	88.75	91.28	1.23 % (I)	3.95 % (I)

	(DC)																						
21.	T28 (HC)	31.29	34.92	82,716	86,419	1.20	0.85	2.1	1.4	2.5	1.8	21	21	99.25	73.45	20.43	19.73	18.55	17.76	90.79	90.03	1.48 % (I)	0.0 %
22.	T28 (DC)	28.58	33.16	62,962	78,395	1.00	0.70	1.8	1.3	2.4	1.6	21	20	62.96	54.87	20.02	19.71	18.42	17.78	90.78	90.00	4.32 % (D)	0.43 % (I)
23.	T35 (HC)	28.30	35.78	81,613	85,802	1.10	0.85	1.9	1.3	2.3	2.0	20	17	89.77	72.93	20.16	19.66	17.71	17.20	87.84	87.48	8.00 % (D)	0.52 % (D)
24.	T35 (DC)	29.45	34.67	73,456	77,778	0.95	0.70	1.8	1.2	2.0	1.6	20	19	69.78	54.44	20.12	19.49	17.65	17.03	87.82	87.50	2.50 % (I)	0.86 % (D)
25.	10% Diseased Control	35.50	30.00	67,283	79,012	1.00	0.70	1.7	1.3	2.0	1.6	20	18	67.28	55.30	20.19	18.98	18.82	17.25	87.88	87.79		
26.	Healthy Control	37.77	36.10	81,481	86,419	1.20	0.70	2.1	1.5	2.4	1.7	22	20	97.77	73.45	21.46	19.63	18.07	17.89	88.42	89.32		

HC- healthy canes; DC - diseased canes (10 %); I- increase in yield; D- decrease in yield

Table: 4. Effect of red rot seed borne inoculums on the performance of sugarcane variety Co 0238

S. No.	Treatment	Bud number		Germination %	Millable cane per hectare	Average Wt. of single (kg)	Average length (m)	Average girth (cm)	Average node	Yield (t/ha)	% Yield loss	Brix	Sucrose%	Purity
		Planted	Germinated											
1.	5% infected cane	135	49	36.29	70,370	1.10	1.8	1.9	21	77.40	20.83	21.50	19.20	89.32
2.	10 % infected cane	135	48	35.50	67,283	1.00	1.7	1.9	20	67.28	31.21	21.41	18.82	87.88
3.	15 % infected cane	135	45	33.33	55,500	0.95	1.5	1.6	18	52.72	46.07	20.81	18.04	86.66
4.	20 % infected cane	135	42	31.11	53,703	0.90	1.5	1.5	19	48.33	50.56	20.31	17.96	88.41
5.	25 % infected cane	135	36	26.67	44,444	0.88	1.4	1.6	18	39.11	59.99	20.68	17.72	85.78
6.	30 % infected cane	135	33	24.44	38,888	0.80	1.4	1.5	17	31.11	68.18	20.23	17.49	86.46
7.	35 % infected cane	135	30	22.22	35,185	0.77	1.2	1.4	15	27.09	72.29	20.05	17.36	86.57
8.	40 % infected cane	135	24	17.77	31,481	0.70	1.0	1.4	13	22.03	77.46	20.02	17.32	86.54
9.	MHAT treated 10 % infected cane	135	49	36.29	76,456	1.15	1.7	1.8	20	87.92	10.07	21.30	18.51	88.72
10.	Healthy cane	135	51	37.77	81,481	1.20	1.8	1.9	22	97.77	00.00	21.46	18.07	88.42

Effect of red rot seed borne inoculums on the performance of variety:

Red rot of sugarcane is primarily seed transmitted disease followed by other sources of secondary transmission. Keeping in view to harvest optimum potential of variety, healthy seed is one of the inputs. To determine the qualitative and quantitative loss due to infected seeds, an experiment is being conducted. Different level of seed infection viz., 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, 25%, 30%, 35% and 40% along with healthy canes, and MHAT treated 10% infected canes of variety Co 0238 have been planted for the evaluation. Results obtained from table 4 revealed that MHAT treated diseased cane seeds showed higher germination percentage as compared to the untreated seed. The result revealed that a yield loss was recorded with the infected seed. The losses were directly proportionate with level of red rot infected seed. Many researchers have suggested that seed borne red rot infection can be suppressed using heat therapy [42]. Talukdar *et al.* [43] reported that moist hot air treatment (54 °C for 3 h and RH 95%) can completely eradicate seed borne infection.

CONCLUSION

In the present study, the rhizospheric mycoflora antagonized *Colletotrichum falcatum* in dual culture assay. *Trichoderma* is a ubiquitous biocontrol agent, protecting the plants from phytopathogens i.e. red rot by suppressing them. Biocontrol efficacy shall make these rhizospheric mycoflora as an important bioinoculants for disease management of sugarcane red rot disease. Biological control using antagonistic rhizospheric mycoflora can be an ecofriendly and sustainable practice to control red rot disease. On the basis of above study it could be concluded that the sugarcane rhizospheric isolates can be used for plant growth promotion to harvest the maximum yield in sugarcane. Hence the rhizosphere of sugarcane can be explored for its mycoflora with the purpose of plant growth promotion and disease control.

REFERENCES

1. Shair F, Yasmin, H, Hassan MN, Alzahrani OM, Nouraldeem A. *Pseudomonas* spp. Mediate defense response in sugarcane through differential exudation of root phenolics. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*. 2021; 28: 7528–7538.
2. Yadav SK, Yadav S. Doubling the income of sugarcane farmers with reduced cost in India and cane scenario in major sugarcane growing countries. *International Journal of Advanced Research*. 2017; 5(8): 1586-1592.
3. Rott P, Davis MJ. Leaf scald. In: A Guide to Sugarcane Diseases (eds P. Rott, R. A., Bailey, J. C., Comstock, B. J., Croft and A. S. Saumtally), CIRAD and International Society of Sugarcane Technologists, Montpellier. 2000; 38-44.

4. Bharti YP, Vishwakarma SK, Kumar A, Singh A, Sharma ML, Shukla DN. Physiological and pathological aspects of some new isolates of *Colletotrichum falcatum* causing red rot disease in Saccharum spp Complex. *Acta Phytopathologica et Entomologica Hungarica*. 2012; 47(1): 35-50.
5. Jayashree J, Selvi A, Nair NV. Characterization of resistance gene analog polymorphism in sugarcane cultivars with varying levels of red rot resistance. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 2010; 1(4): 1191-1199.
6. Viswanathan R. Red rot of sugarcane (*Colletotrichum falcatum* Went). *CAB Reviews*. 2021; (023): 1-57. Available from <http://www.cabi.org/cabreviews>. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1079/PAVSNNR202116023>.
7. Gowsalya A, Ponnusami V, Sugumaran KR. Isolation of bacteria from soil sample for exo-polysaccharide production, *International Journal of Chem. Tech. Research*. 2014; 6: 2925-2928.
8. Juma EOA, Musyimi DM, George O. Enumeration and identification of rhizospheric microorganisms of sugarcane variety Co 421 in Kibos Kisumu county Kenya. *Journal of Asian Scientific Research*. 2018; 8(3):113-127.
9. Harman GE. Overview of mechanism and uses of *Trichoderma* spp. *Phytopathology*. 2006; 96: 190-194.
10. Singh V, Srivastava SN, Lal RJ, Awasthi SK, Joshi BB. Biological control of red rot disease of sugarcane through *Trichoderma harzianum* and *Trichoderma viride*. *Indian Phytopath.* 2008; 61(4): 486-491.
11. Yadav RL, Yadav DV, Sharma AK, and Singh GK. Envisioning Improved sugarcane production technology for high yields and high sugar recovery in India. *Sugar Tech.* 2009; 11(1): 1-11.
12. Goh TK. Single spore isolation using a handmade glass needle, *Fungal Divers.* 1999; 2: 47-63
13. Baijal U, Mehrotra BS. The genus *Cunninghamella*-areassessment. *Sydowia*. 1980; 33: 1-13.
14. Bisset J. A revision of the genus *Trichoderma* II. Infra generic classification. *Can. J. Bot.* 1991a; 69: 2357-72.

15. Bisset J. A revision of the genus *Trichoderma* III. Section *Pachybasium*. *Can. J. Bot.* 1991b; 69: 2373-417.
16. Gaddeyya G, Niharika PS, Bharathi P, Kumar PKR. Isolation and identification of soil mycoflora in different cropfields at Salur Mandal. *Advanced Applied Sciences Research.* 2012; 3: 2020-2026.
17. Sumana A, Singh P. Evaluation of epiphytic microflora as antagonists of red rot pathogen, *Colletotrichum falcatum* in sugarcane under subtropical conditions. *Journal of Biological Control.* 2012; 26(4): 351-360.
18. Yasmin H, Naz R, Nosheen A, Hassan MN, Ilyas N, Sajjad M, Anjum S, Gao X, Geng Z. Identification of new biocontrol agent against charcoal rot disease caused by *Macrophominaphaseolina* in soybean (*Glycine max* L.) *Sustainability.* 2020; 12(17): 6856. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12176856>.
19. Lunge AG, Patil AS. Characterization of efficient chitinolytic enzyme producing *Trichoderma* species: a tool for better antagonistic approach. *International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology.* 2012; 1(5): 377-385.
20. Samuels GJ, Dodd SL, Gams W, Castlebury LA, Petrini O. *Trichoderma* species associated with the green mold epidemic of commercially grown *Agaricusbisporus*. *Mycologia.* 2002; 94: 146-170.
21. Bouregghda H, Bouznad Z, Decock C. Cultural and molecular characterizations of some isolates of *Trichoderma* spp. *Arab Journal of Plant Protection.* 2008; 26: 75-80.
22. Joshi D, Misra SC. Characterization of *Trichoderma* isolates from sugarcane agro-ecosystem and their efficacy against *Colletotrichum falcatum* causing red rot of sugarcane. *Sugar Tech.* 2013; 15(2):192-196.
23. Samuels GJ. *Trichoderma*: systematics, the sexual state, and ecology. *Phytopathology.* 2006; 96: 195-206.
24. Haque MM, Haque MA, Ilias G, and Molla AH. *Trichoderma*- Enriched Biofertilizer: A Prospective Substitute of Inorganic Fertilizer for Mustard (*Brassica campestris*) Production. *Agric.* 1970; 8(2): 66-73.

25. Blaszczyk L, Siwulski M, Sobieralski K, Lisiecka J, Jędryczka M. *Trichoderma* spp. Application and prospects for use in organic farming and industry. *J. Plant Prot. Res.* 2014; 54(4): 309-317.
26. Benitez T, Rincon AM, Limon MC, Codon AC. Biocontrol mechanisms of *Trichoderma* strains. *Int. Microbiol.* 2004; 7(4): 249-260.
27. Patel P, Shah R, Joshi B, Ramar K, Natarajan A. Molecular identification and biocontrol activity of sugarcane rhizosphere bacteria against red rot pathogen *Colletotrichum falcatum*. *Biotechnology Reports.* 2019; xxx. e00317. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.btre.2019.e00317>
28. Varma PK, Kumar VKK, Suresh M, Chandrasekhar V, Bharathalakshmi M, Jamuna P. *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*(RB19): A potential PGPR in managing sugarcane red rot disease. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry.* 2019; 8(6): 2255-2261.
29. Aslam S, Hamid MI, Ghazanfar MU, Akhtar N. Assessment of sugarcane genotypes for red rot resistance and antifungal activity of rhizosphere microbiota against *Colletotricumfalcatum*. *Intl J Agric Biol.* 2021; 26: 241–250
30. Zia MA, Yasmin H, Shair F, Jabeen Z, Mumtaz S, Hayat Z, Shah, SZH. Afghan S, Hafeez FY, Hassan MN. Glucanolytic rhizobacteria produce antifungal metabolites and elicit ROS scavenging system in sugarcane. *Sugar Tech.* 2019; 21(2): 244-255. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12355-018-0654-7>.
31. Viswanathan R, P Malathi. Biocontrol strategies to manage fungal diseases in Sugarcane. *Sugar Technol.* (2019); 21: 202–212.
32. Amna Y, Xia Y, Farooq MA, Javed MT, Kamran MA, Mukhtar T. Multi-stress tolerant PGPR *Bacillus xiamenensis* pm14 activating sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) red rot disease resistance. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 2020; 151: 640–649. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2020.04.016
33. Xie L, Liu L, Luo Y, Rao X, Di Y, Liu H, Qian Z, Shen Q, He L, Li F. Complete genome sequence of biocontrol strain *Bacillus velezensis*YC89 and its biocontrol potential against sugarcane red rot. *Front. Microbiol.* 2023; 14: 1180474. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1180474 .
34. Zin NA, Badaluddin NA. Biological functions of *Trichoderma* spp. for agriculture applications. *Annals of Agricultural Sciences.* 2020; 65: 168–178.

35. Howell CR, Hanson LE, Stipanovic RD, Puckhaber LS. Induction of Terpenoid Synthesis in Cotton Roots and Control of *Rhizoctoniasolaniby* Seed Treatment with *Trichoderma virens*. *Phytopathology*.2000; 90: 248-252.
36. Joshi D, Singh P, Singh AK, Lal RJ, Tripathi N. Antifungal potential of metabolites from *Trichoderma* sp. against *Colletotrichum falcatum*Went causing red rot of Sugarcane. *Sugar Tech*.2016; 18: 529-536.
37. Joshi D, Singh P, Holkar SK, Kumar S. *Trichoderma*-mediated suppression of red rot of sugarcane under field conditions in subtropical India. *Sugar Tech*. 2018;DOI: 10.1007/s12355-018-0624-0.
38. Singh V, Joshi BB, Awasthi SK, Srivastava SN. Ecofriendly management of red rot disease of sugarcane with *Trichoderma* strains. *Sugar Tech*. 2008a; 10: 158-161.
39. Singh V, Lal RJ, Awasthi SK, Verma MR. Managing red rot of sugarcane by *Trichoderma harzianum*. *Indian Sugar*. 2009; 59: 25-30.
40. Freeman S, Miz D, Kolesnik I, Barbul O, Zveibil A, Maymon M, Nitzani Y, Krihshner B, Rav-David D, Bilu A, Dag A, Shafir S, Elad Y. *Trichoderma* biocontrol of *Colletotrichum acutatum* and *Botrytis cinerea* and survival in strawberry. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*. 2004; 110: 361-370.
41. Sanchez V, Rebolledo O, Picaso RM, Cardenas E, Cordova J, Gonzalez O, Samuels GJ. In vitro antagonism of *Thielaviopsisparadoxa* by *Trichoderma longibrachiatum*. *Mycopathologia*.2007; 163: 49-58.
42. Hossain MI, Ahmad K, Siddiqui Y, Saad N, Rahman Z, Haruna AO, Bejo SK. Current and Prospective Strategies on Detecting and Managing *Colletotrichum falcatum* Causing Red Rot of Sugarcane. *Agronomy*. 2020; 10: 1253. doi:10.3390/agronomy10091253.
43. Talukder MI, Kamal MM, Iqbal M, Rahman S. Bangladesh Akher Rog Balai Somuho O Tar Pratikar; Bangladesh Sugarcane Research Institute: Ishurdi, Pabna, Bangladesh. 2010; pp. 5–10.