

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_113708</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Clinical Therapies Utilized in Treating Medication-related Osteonecrosis of the Jaw: a Systematic Review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Systematic Review</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Yes, in my opinion, the use of bisphosphonates is increasing nowadays in various therapeutic aspects, and thus this manuscript has a significant impact on the scientific community, to shed light on various aspects of Medication-Related Osteonecrosis of the Jaw (MRONJ), its prevalence, risk factors, treatment strategies, and associated complications. By enlightening the scientific community regarding MRONJ in detail, we can avoid complications, and risk factors of MRONJ, thus helping the prognosis of the patient.</p> <p>"Evaluation of various therapeutic approaches in treating Medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw: A Systematic Review".</p> <p>Yes, it is comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient and are recent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the language of the article seemed to be for scholarly communication. Even though there are some grammatical errors and a few words are repeated. I suggest proofreading to avoid them.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Helan Kurian</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Columbia University Irving Medical Center, United States</b>