

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_113781
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on Genetic Divergence analysis in Black gram genotypes (Vigna mungo L. Hepper)
Type of the Article	Research paper

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The importance of genetic evaluation of different crops is necessary to achieve sustainable food production for the ever-increasing world population. Thus, the manuscript is important for scientific community.</li> <li>2. The title of the article is suitable.</li> <li>3. To make abstract comprehensive add one or two sentences to state the importance of the subject and have any recommendations for the beneficiaries and the scientific community.</li> <li>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</li> <li>5. The findings of the manuscript are scientifically correct.</li> <li>6. The references are sufficient, but not that much recent.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	The manuscript should be revised by a native speaker.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	See the comments on the manuscript file.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

## Review Form 1.7

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Sayyed Mahmoud Enjavinezhad</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Agriculture, Shiraz University, Iran</b>