

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_114478
Title of the Manuscript:	Mapping of Product Specific Value Chain Actors in Coconut in Western Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it provides valuable insights into the dynamics of the coconut value chain in Western Tamil Nadu, India.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable. However, to attract the reader to read full articles, my suggestion is to rewrite the title using any of the titles below (optional).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Identifying Key Players in Western Tamil Nadu's Coconut Value Chain b. A Comprehensive Mapping of the Coconut Value Chain in Western Tamil Nadu c. Investigating the Role of Actors in Western Tamil Nadu's Coconut Value Chain <p>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive and follows the IMRaD (Introduction, Methods, Results, and Discussion) format commonly used in scientific research articles.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript appear to be well organized and appropriately structured within the context of the IMRaD format.</p> <p>In my opinion, appears to be well-structured and scientifically sound but can be improvised.</p> <p>The references provided in the manuscript appear to be relevant and recent. However, it is recommended that the authors follow a standard citation format, such as APA or MLA, in reference section at the end of the manuscript. This will make it easier for readers to locate and verify the sources used in the study.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language used in the article is technical and appropriate for scholarly communication, with a clear methodology and results section.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohd Fauzi Bin Mohd Yunus
Department, University & Country	Polytechnic of Sultan Mizan Zainal Abidin, Malaysia