

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_114478
Title of the Manuscript:	Mapping of Product Specific Value Chain Actors in Coconut in Western Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript effectively reflects the fundamental research, provides a clear indication of the research focus. 2. The title "Mapping of Product Specific Value Chain Actors in Coconut in Western Tamil Nadu" effectively conveys the main focus and scope of the article. It clearly indicates that the study examines the value chain of coconuts in Western Tamil Nadu, specifically focusing on the actors involved in the process. However, it might benefit from slight modifications to enhance clarity and specificity. Here are a few suggestions: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. "Mapping the Value Chain Actors in the Coconut Industry of Western Tamil Nadu" 2. "Analysis of Value Chain Actors in the Coconut Sector of Western Tamil Nadu" 3. "Understanding the Value Chain Dynamics of Coconuts in Western Tamil Nadu" 4. "Exploring the Actors in the Coconut Value Chain in Western Tamil Nadu" 5. "Assessment of Value Chain Participants in the Coconut Industry of Western Tamil Nadu" 3. The abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the coconut industry in Western Tamil Nadu, India, highlighting its significance, challenges, and the need for value chain analysis. 4. Overall, the subsections and structure of the manuscript seem appropriate for presenting the research study in a clear and organized manner. 5. Based on the content provided, the manuscript appears to be scientifically correct in terms of its methodology, data collection, analysis, and interpretation. 6. Please add more references. All references outdated references are cited, please update with more recent one. <p><u>Comments for author</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide more details on the criteria used for selecting sample respondents and the rationale behind selecting specific districts and taluks. This would enhance the transparency and replicability of the study. 2. The abstract to ensure clarity and conciseness. Focus on highlighting the key findings and implications of the study, avoiding unnecessary details or repetition. Please check again. 3. The paragraph maintains academic rigor by summarizing the study's methodology, results, and implications. To further strengthen the academic quality, you could include specific references to relevant literature or theoretical frameworks that support the conclusions drawn from the study. 4. Additionally, consider elaborating on the limitations of the research methodology or potential areas for future research to demonstrate a critical understanding of the study's scope and implications. 5. The paragraph suggests several recommendations for policymakers, industry stakeholders, and farmers based on the study's findings. To enhance the clarity and actionable nature of these recommendations, consider providing specific examples or case studies illustrating how these recommendations could be implemented in practice. 6. Clarification of Methodology: While the methodology section outlines the sampling technique and selection criteria for respondents, it could benefit from further elaboration on how data collection was conducted, including the interview process, data analysis techniques, and any limitations encountered during the study. 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>7. Value Chain Mapping: The description of the value chain mapping process is informative, but it could be enhanced by providing more detail on the specific tools, models, and methodologies used for mapping, as well as any challenges faced during this process.</p> <p>8. Results and Discussion: The results and discussion section effectively summarize the findings of the value chain analysis, but it would be helpful to include more detailed insights into the challenges and opportunities identified within each value chain, as well as comparisons between different chains in terms of efficiency, profitability, and sustainability.</p> <p>9. Conclusion: It could be strengthened by providing specific recommendations for policymakers, industry stakeholders, and farmers based on the findings of the research.</p> <p>10. Citations and References: It's important to ensure that all sources cited in the text are properly referenced</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language and Clarity: Overall, the paragraph is well-written, but there are a few instances where the language could be clarified or simplified for better understanding.</p> <p>Please check for grammatical errors and improve the overall language for clarity and professionalism.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amir Detho
Department, University & Country	Universiti Tun Hussein, Malaysia