

ReviewForm 1.7

JournalName:	AsianResearchJournalofAgriculture
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_ARJA_114101
TitleoftheManuscript:	FrontlineDemonstration:AnEffectiveCommunicationApproachforDisseminationofSustainableRiceProductionTechnology
Typeofthe Article	

ReviewForm 1.7

PART1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, it is a very important one, taking into account the position and importance it has.</p> <p>2. I would have taken our first two words, "frontlinedemonstration"</p> <p>3. Yes, it is, maybe a little bit too short.</p> <p>4. Yes, they are</p> <p>5. Yes, I think it is correct.</p> <p>6. References are good, and actual, from 2022, 2023, but very few, it could be added at least 10 more.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language is suitable.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Even if I agree with the structure and the content, I would like to accept this manuscript with minor revisions, namely, Conclusions chapter is very small, it could be more developed, References could include more titles, at least 8-10.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raul Pascalau
Department, University & Country	University of Life Sciences " King Mihai I", Romania