

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_113405
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating the effects of fertilizers and bio-stimulants on field performance of <i>Amaranthus dubius</i> .
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes. Plants have a great economic importance as a food. 2. Yes. 3. Yes, but some changes mentioned below could be done. 4. Yes 5. Yes, but some additional references could be added in the "Results and Discussion". 6. Yes.</p> <p>Dear authors,</p> <p>I am writing to you about the manuscript entitled "Evaluating the effects of fertilizers and bio-stimulants on field performance of <i>Amaranthus dubius</i>", which you submitted to Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science.</p> <p>The main idea of this article is of great relevance because it talks about a plant that very economically important for India. The role, however, needs to be improved. Therefore, some recommendations are suggested.</p> <p>In the Abstract, you could write at least a phrasal related to the importance of the plant.</p> <p>The Introduction is good, but it's too short. I recommend you to develop it a little bit more. Try to write more information in each paragraph.</p> <p>In the Results and Discussion section, it would be interesting to show some images that could show the studied plants.</p> <p>Also about the Discussion, maybe you could "talk" a little more with results of other articles, adding some additional and recent references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gabriel Casimiro Lopes Silva Santos
Department, University & Country	Rio de Janeiro State University, Brazil