

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRCOS_114508</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Impact of Digital Transformation on Community Engagement and Enhancing Social Capital</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1- This article is considered a crucial topic for the scientific community, especially in developing countries, where it allows both practical and theoretical contributions.</p> <p>2- The title is accepted for the article if it grammatically corrected. However, it is essential to consider the location and be focused on a region rather than a general study.</p> <p>3- The abstract is too long and does not demonstrate the entire content of the research. It is better to clarify only the aim, methodology, finding, and contribution.</p> <p>4- The sections and subsections that are used are not proper in academic writing. Just take the abstract, introduction, literature and conceptual model, methodology, result, discussion, and conclusion.</p> <p>5- The references are sufficient and new.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	accepted	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mahmoud Al.Homssi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Beirut Arab University, Lebanon</b>