

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_114508
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Digital Transformation on Community Engagement and Enhancing Social Capital
Type of the Article	Quantitative

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Importance for the Scientific Community: This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it addresses a critical gap in the understanding of the comprehensive impact of digital collaborative tools on organizational culture, employee engagement, and social capital. The study's quantitative research approach, large sample size, and the use of multiple regression analysis contribute valuable insights into the potential of digital tools to enhance social capital within organizations. The findings, including the positive impact on communication, collaboration, and the development of social capital, provide practical implications for organizations undergoing digital transformation. Moreover, the study introduces the concept of a 'social score' feature within digital tools, opening avenues for further research and discussions on innovative ways to enrich organizational social capital. 2. Suitability of the Title: The title "Impact of Digital Transformation on Community Engagement and Enhancing Social Capital" is generally suitable as it accurately reflects the main focus of the manuscript. However, for improved clarity and specificity, you might consider a title that more explicitly mentions the role of collaborative tools, strategic communication, and digital inclusivity. Alternative Title: "Digital Collaborative Tools, Strategic Communication, and Social Capital: Unveiling the Impact of Digital Transformation on Organizational Dynamics" 3. Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. It provides a clear overview of the study's focus, methodology, and key findings. The abstract outlines the impact of digital collaborative tools on organizational culture, employee engagement, and social capital. It also highlights the research approach, the sample size, and the data collection method. The abstract concludes by summarizing the key findings and offering recommendations based on the study's results. Overall, it effectively encapsulates the main aspects of the research, making it a comprehensive summary for potential readers. 4. Appropriateness of Subsections and Structure: The manuscript appears to have a well-organized structure with clear subsections, including an introduction, methodology, results, and recommendations. The logical flow of the manuscript allows readers to follow the research process and findings easily. However, it would be helpful to have a dedicated section explicitly labeled as "Results" to enhance the clarity of the manuscript. 5. Scientific Accuracy: The manuscript seems scientifically sound, employing a quantitative research approach and multiple regression analysis to test hypotheses. The use of a Likert scale in the survey design and the large sample size enhance the robustness of the study. However, it's essential to ensure that methodological details are sufficiently provided to allow for reproducibility and validation of the findings. Additionally, a thorough peer review process can further validate the scientific accuracy of the manuscript. 6. References: The references provided seem relevant to the topic and are recent. However, it's advisable to review the references during the final stages of manuscript preparation to ensure that the most current and pertinent literature is included. Considering the dynamic nature of digital transformation and collaborative tools, you might want to check for any recent publications (within the last 2-3 years) that could contribute further insights to strengthen the manuscript. Additionally, if any seminal or foundational works on digital tools and social capital are missing, they could be considered for inclusion. 	

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article seem suitable for scholarly communications. The manuscript appears well-written, with clear and concise language	
Optional/General comments	NA	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jignesh Vidani
Department, University & Country	L.J. Institute of Management Studies, LJ University, India