

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_113985
Title of the Manuscript:	Attitudes of Indian and Saudi Students In Learning English as a Second Language (ESL)/English as a Foreign Language (EFL): A SWOT Analysis
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1-Yes, the present study is an attempt to fill a gap in the literature on the impact of internal and external factors influencing ESL and EFL learning from students' perspective. The fact that no research was ever conducted on the differences and similarities in the attitudes of Indian and Saudi students of English (particularly when SWOT analysis is used), makes the present study of interest.</p> <p>2-Yes, the title is suitable for this topic</p> <p>3-Partially, the abstract explains in details the methodology used in the study but it is missing the reason why the present problem was chosen to be addressed, what is already known about this problem, the findings from the study and their significance. Thus, it needs revising.</p> <p>4-Yes, the manuscript has the structure of a scientific study. It starts with an introduction of the differences between ESL and EFL and the role of motivation in learning a second language. By the end of the introduction section the author/s identify the gap in the literature which justifies the study. literature review, research methodology, discussion, conclusions and recommendations make this study scientifically correct.</p> <p>5-Partially, personally speaking I consider 33 participants not a significant number to help a researcher draw conclusions on a large community such as Indian or Arabic students. When questionnaires are used as an instrument in a study the larger the number of participants the more statistically correct the results from them (the minimum should be 100).</p> <p>6-Yes, although I would have preferred to see in the reference list more articles published in the last 10 years.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are some spelling mistakes which the author/s can correct by themselves. The article needs a second reading and editing.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gentjana Taraj
Department, University & Country	Aleksander Moisiu' University, Albania