



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>1. In the methodology, the author mentioned the use of Likert-scale and closed-ended questionnaire, the result, however, shows that the data is qualitative, and the questions are open-ended.</p> <p>2. The discussion section should discuss the result presented in the result sections.</p> <p>However, the paper presents new results in the discussion sections. This needs to be reorganised and revised.</p> <p>3. The paper needs to be polished by adhering to the concept of language economy and the formality of the language. In other words, I feel that the paper needs to be polished.</p>	<p>1. In the methodology, it is mentioned that mixed method has been used (both qualitative and quantitative) as I have used both open-ended and closed-ended questions.</p> <p>2. The discussion section does present the result the data from the result section. In the result section, the exact data has been mentioned whereas in the discussion section comparison of ESL and EFL data has been done.</p> <p>3. I tried to polish the language of the paper. I hope it fits the requirements now.</p>