

**Editor's Comment:**

My overall comments:

The topic is interesting. It seems that all 3 reviewers have acknowledged the paper to be fit to be published. However, I find 3 main flaws in the article that need further improvement:

1. In the methodology, the author mentioned the use of Likert-scale and closed-ended questionnaire, the result, however, shows that the data is qualitative, and the questions are open-ended.

2. The discussion section should discuss the result presented in the result sections.

However, the paper presents new results in the discussion sections. This needs to be reorganised and revised.

3. The paper needs to be polished by adhering to the concept of language economy and the formality of the language. In other words, I feel that the paper needs to be polished.

Perhaps sending to a proofreader would be a good idea.

Therefore, my final decision for this paper is to be revised before it can go for publication.

**Editor's Details:**

Dr Mohamad Fadhili bin Yahaya  
Associate Professor, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia.