

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_113794
Title of the Manuscript:	Survey and identification of plant parasitic nematodes associated with citrus in Dibrugarh district
Type of the Article	Original article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Citrus cultivation is of great global relevance and knowing its parasites would be of vital importance to be able to prevent and treat diseased plants. This would allow a decrease in fruit loss. It is a very important study.</p> <p>Yes, although I would add the name of the country next to the district.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No, the Conclusion is missing!!!! The bibliography is very incomplete.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The citations in the text are there, but in the "references" section half of them are missing and there are inconsistencies between dates.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The objective of the study is correct, as is the methodology, supported by a good introduction. The discussion and results are somewhat mixed. There is no conclusion. The analysis needs to be closed to complete the study and fulfill the proposed aim.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Daniel Alejandro Gorrer
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional de Jujuy, Argentina