

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_113500
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation between Pre-Operative Myocardial Fibrosis and Early Post CABG Dysrhythmia
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

\	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>See suggestions.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Suggestions: Abstract: BACKGROUND: SVI not defined Group A had a statistically significant lowered... remove 'd' in lowered Same sentenceGroup B respectfully. Remove 'respectfully' Last sentence: This sentence sounds like a conclusion rather than a result. It should be replaced by something like this: 'Rhythm disturbance occurred more often in patients with a scar percentage >14.8% (P=0.0002 and AUC=0.708) Define AUC. CONCLUSION: cardiovascular medicine. End sentence after medicine. Preoperative CMR imaging may be a promising.... Add: 'Our study showed that preoperative myocardial scarring >14.8%, as determined by CMR imaging, was predictive of early postoperative arrhythmia in patients undergoing CABG.' INTRODUCTION Second para - last sentence. Replace with something like: 'CMR imaging of the myocardium in IHD is now accepted as a well-established procedure for its ability to provide reliable diagnostic and prognostic information(2).'</p> <p>PATIENTS AND METHODS First para- last sentence</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>...and If the CMR....I in If should be lower case</p> <p>Second para: Add: Postop hospital stay varied from. _days to _days with a mean of _ days.</p> <p>Third para: What was considered atrial or ventricular arrhythmia? Were APCs and PVCs considered abnormal?</p> <p>RESULTS May help if the most common arrhythmias noted were mentioned.</p> <p>DISCUSSION First Para Cut off point here says 18.8% but 14.8% in the abstract????</p> <p>Second Para POAs description?</p> <p>Third paraeffective treatment modalities available(6). Remove 2nd sentence 'There have been....(7). Add reference #7 at the end of next sentence:45 to 60 minte study (7,8).</p> <p>CONCLUSION Needs to be enlarged to contain >5 sentences or so.</p> <p>One of the limitations of the study is only EKGs were used to determine the presence of dysthymia. Were any dysthymias lethal or prolonged hospital stay?</p> <p>REFERENCES Add DOI for each paper (where available). DOIs help locate the paper'permanently'</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shashi Agarwal
Department, University & Country	USA