

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_113092
Title of the Manuscript:	NITROGEN AND SULPHUR NUTRITION FOR YIELD AND QUALITY IN GROUNDNUT (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is important for the scientific community, the study carried out uses approved and precise experimental and analytical techniques to demonstrate the potential of the application of the split and foliar application nutrients to develop the yield quality of groundnut. Assessment of Nitrogen and Sulphur nutrition to optimize the yield and quality of Groundnut. The abstract is comprehensive with a little change: the author(s) have to reformulate the ideas to show more the interest of the study and the method used. Avoid repetition of words, use synonyms and connections, and abstain from abbreviations of words in the abstract. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate with a little change: The introduction must be rephrased to remove repetitions of words, verbs, sentences, and connections. For each sentence or paragraph ending with a point, the author must provide the references. At the end of the introduction part, the author(s) can add a paragraph on how the rest of the paper is organized and developed, typically a summary on the rest. At the end of the conclusion part, it is suggested to propose further improvements for a future development. The manuscript is scientifically correct with a little change: Reformulate the manuscript by showing the interest of the method used compared to other existing ones. Convert the results into graphs and evolution profiles to better visualize the data The references are sufficient and recent. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications with a little change: Convert the results into graphs and evolution profiles to easily communicate the comments, and the critical analysis of the important results of the study 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ouissal Drissi El Bouzaidi
Department, University & Country	Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Morocco