<u>Original Research Article</u>

NITROGEN AND SULPHUR NUTRITION FOR YIELD AND QUALITY IN GROUNDNUT (*Arachis hypogaea* L.)

ABSTARCT

The field experiment was conducted during the summer season of February 2023to June 2023 at College of Agriculture, Padannakkad, Kasargodewith the objective of formulating a nutrient schedule for groundnut, consisting different sources of nutrients, time and method of application. The experiment was laid out in FRBD with 9 treatment combinations of 2 factors, *viz*., Nutrient Schedule (S) and Foliar Nutrition (F) at 10 and 30 DAS, with 3 treatments each, *viz*., S_1: POP as basal (10kgN and 20kgS), S_2: N and S each @ 10kgha^{-1} in 2 equal splits (basal and 20 DAS), S_3:Control (POP without N and S), F_1:Foliar application of urea (1%)+SOP (1%)F_2:Foliar application of nano urea (3 ml 1^{-1}) + nano S (1 ml 1^{-1}), F_3: Control (without foliar application). Split application of N and S as basal and 20 DAS recorded significantly higher growth parameters like plant height, leaf area and dry matter plant. The treatments which received split application of N and S and foliar application of nano urea and nano sulphuror their combination were superior in terms of growth attributes such as plant height, total leaf area and dry matter per plant at different growth stages. Similar trend was observed with respect to yield attributes, yield and also in quality parameter as well.

Comment [MOU1]: Please state the observation parameters

Comment [MOU2]: How much higher?

Keywords: Groundnut, Nitrogen, Sulphur, Foliar application, Nano urea and Nano sulphur

1. INTRODUCTION

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.), also known as peanut, is a legume that ranks 6th among the oilseed crops and 13th among the food crops of the world. In addition to, providing high quality edible oil (48–50%), easily digestible protein (26–28%) and nearly half of the 13 essential vitamins and 7 of the 20 essential minerals necessary for normal human growth and maintenance, it produces high quality fodder for livestock (ICRISAT, 2023). Globally, g~~G~~roundnut covers 327 lakh hectares with the production of 539 lakh tonnes with the productivity of 1648 kg per hectare (FAOSTAT, 2021). With annual all-season coverage of 54.2 lakh hectares, globally, India ranks first in g~~G~~roundnut area under cultivation and is the second largest producer in the world with 101 lakh tonnes with productivity of 1863 kg per hectare in 2021-22 (GoI, 2021).

Nitrogen is a key component of many structural, genetic and metabolic components found in plant cells. It is also a basic component of many essential organic substances such as amino acids, proteins, nucleic acids, enzymes, and the chlorophyll molecule. Sulphur is very essential for oilseed crops along with nitrogen for protein, enzyme and oil syntheses, carbohydrate as well as protein metabolism. The shortage in sulphur supply to crops reduces the nitrogen use efficiency of crops. Consequently, the poor efficiency of nitrogen utilization caused by insufficient sulphur may increase nitrogen losses from cultivated soils (Schnug *et al.*, 1993).

Leaching of nitrogen and sulphur is the most common concern in sandy soil; thus, split application of these nutrients would offer optimum availability to the cropsthroughout their growth and development, resulting in better utilization of carbohydrates and increased yield. Different mode of fertilization through split application and foliar nutrition can be suitably adapted to enhance N and S uptake by groundnut. Foliar feeding is considered as the most effective and economical way to correct plant nutrient deficiencies. During the last decades, foliar feeding of nutrients has become an established procedure in crop production to increase the yield and improve the quality of crop products and to lower the environmental pollution through less quantity of fertilizers added to the soil.

Nano fertilizers offer unique qualities that promote plant performance by means of higher absorption and translocation of nutrients, considerable rise

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Comment [MOU3]: How much the nutrient needed for groundnut?

in leaf surface area and greater photosynthesis. When conventional fertilizer is substituted with nano fertilizer, nutrients are released in a steady and controlled manner into the soil, preventing eutrophication and water contamination (Naderi and Danesh,2013).

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at College of Agriculture, Padannakkad, Kerala Agricultural University, during the summer season of2023 (January to June, 2023).The field was located at12° 20' 30" N latitude and 75° 04' 15" E longitude at an altitude of 20 m above the mean sea level. This area enjoys a typical warm humid tropical climate. The experimental field was left fallow prior to the layout of the experiment.

The soil was sandy loamy in texture with pH (6.98), organic carbon (0.35 %), available nitrogen (149.5 kg ha^{-1}), available phosphorous (51.4 kg ha^{-1}), available potassium (152.1 kg ha^{-1}) and available sulphur (3.3 kg ha^{-1}). The experiment was laid out in Factorial Randomized BlockDesign (FRBD), with 2 factors nutrient schedule and foliar application of nutrients, under nutrient schedule S_1 – POP* as basal, S_2 – N & S 10 kg each in 2 equal splits(basal and 20 DAS), S_3 – POP* without N and S as basal were included.Foliar applicationnutrients were performed at 10 and 30 DAS in thatF_1 - Urea & SOP 1% each, F_2 - Nano urea (1 mll$_{-1}$) & Nano S(3 mll^{-1}) and F_3 - Control were studied. Recommended dose of fertilizer for groundnut according to POP is 10:75:75:20 N, P, K and S.

Treatment details

S_1F_1-POP (basal) + Urea (1%) + SOP (1%)

S_1F_2-POP (basal) + Nano Urea (3ml l^{-1}) + Nano S (1 ml l^{-1})

S_1F_3-POP (basal) Control (without foliar application)

S_2F_1-$N_{10}S_{10}$ as 2 splits (basal and 20 DAS) + Urea (1%) + SOP (1%)

S_2F_2-$N_{10}S_{10}$ as 2 splits (basal and 20 DAS) + Nano Urea (3ml l^{-1}) + Nano S (1 ml l^{-1})

S_2F_3 -$N_{10}S_{10}$ as 2 splits (basal and 20 DAS) + Control (without foliar application)

S_3F_1-POP without N and S (basal) + Urea (1%) + SOP (1%)

S_3F_2-POP without N and S (basal) + Nano Urea (3ml l^{-1}) + Nano S (1 ml l^{-1})

Comment [MOU4]: Research gap should stated. The objective cannot be found yet

Comment [MOU5]: Please state the abbreviation

S_3F_3-POP without N and S (basal) + Control (without foliar application)

A fertilizer dose of 75 kg ha^{-1} of K_2O and P_2O_5 were applied to all the plots as basal dose. For foliar application nanofertilizers including nano urea and nano S was used. The liquid formulation of nano nitrogen contained 40000 ppm of N. whereas the nano S liquid formulation contains 50000 ppm of S.The variety of groundnut used was CO-7. Observation on growth parameters were recorded using five randomly selected plants from each net plot.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Plant height (cm)

Plant height varied significantly with the nutrient schedule at all growth stages (Table 1). Basal application of fertilisers as per POP (S_1) recorded the highest plant height at 20 DAS (8.16 cm), 40 DAS (18.05 cm) and 60 DAS (30.34 cm) and it was on par with S_2 ($N_{10}S_{10}$ as 2 splits) at 20 DAS (7.63 cm) and 80 DAS (37.61 cm). At 80 DAS, highest plant height is recorded with S_2 ($N_{10}S_{10}$ as 2 splits) and it was on par with S_1 (application of fertilisers as per POP as basal). Lowest plant height was observed with the treatment, S_3 (POP without N and S). This might be due to increased availability of N and S in the initial stage which have direct influence on plant photosynthetic process and meristematic activity, thus improving plant height. Similar results of comparable plant heights in basal as well as split application of N fertilizer was reported by Głowacka *et al.* (2023). The effect of foliar nutrition on plant height was also significant at all growth stages except at 20 DAS. The highest value for plant height was observed with the application of nano urea (3 mll^{-1}) and nano S (1 mll^{-1}) at 40 DAS (16.72 cm), 60 DAS (31.31 cm) and 80 DAS (38 cm) and it was on par with the foliar spray of urea (1%) and SOP (1%) at 40 DAS (16.29 cm) and 80 DAS (36.8 cm).

The effect of interaction between nutrient schedule and foliar nutrition was significant with respect to plant height at all growth stages except at 20 DAS. Integrated application of POP as basal + foliar spray of nano urea3 mll^{-1} and nano S 1mll^{-1} (S_1F_2) recorded the highest plant height (18.61 cm) at 40 DAS and it was on par with S_1F_3 (18.06 cm), S_2F_1 (18.16 cm) and S_2F_2 (18.39 cm). At 60 DAS and 80 DAS the highest plant height (34.5cm & 41.5 cm) was recorded with POP as basal + foliar spray of nano urea-3 mll^{-1} and nano S 1mll^{-1} (S_1F_2) and it was on par with S_2F_1 (40.46 cm) and S_2F_2 (40.43 cm) at 80 DAS.Benzon*et al.*

Comment [MOU6]: What is CO-7?

(2015), discussed the possible synergistic effect of nanofertilizers

Table 1. Plant height (cm) of groundnut as influenced by nutrient schedule and foliar nutrition

on conventional fertilizers, affecting root uptake to foliage penetration and movement of nutrients within the plant, leading to better intake of nutrients and higher plant height.

Treatment	20 DAS	40 DAS	60 DAS	80 DAS
Nutrient Schedule (S)				
S_1 – POP* as basal	8.16	18.05	30.34	36.91
S_2 – N & S 10 kg each in 2 splits	7.63	16.67	28.28	37.61
S_3 – POP without N and S as basal	6.58	13.33	25.26	32.29
SEm (±)	0.266	0.287	0.538	0.536
CD (P< 0.05)	0.797	0.859	1.612	1.608
Foliar Nutrition (F)				
F_1 - Urea + SOP	7.38	16.29	27.82	36.8
F_2 - Nano urea + Nano S	7.71	16.72	31.31	38.0
F_3 - Control	7.28	15.04	24.65	32.0
SEm (±)	0.266	0.287	0.538	0.536
CD (P< 0.05)	NS	0.859	1.612	1.608
Interaction (S x F)				
S_1F_1	8.36	17.46	30.1	36.03
S_1F_2	8.23	18.61	34.5	41.5
S_1F_3	7.86	18.06	26.4	33.2
S_2F_1	7.1	18.16	29.9	40.46
S_2F_2	8.26	18.39	31.57	40.43
S_2F_3	7.53	13.46	23.3	31.9
S_3F_1	6.6	13.33	23.4	33.9
S_3F_2	6.63	13.76	27.8	32.06
S_3F_3	6.43	13.2	23.1	30.9
SEm (±)	0.461	0.496	0.931	0.929
CD (P< 0.05)	NS	1.488	2.792	2.785

3.2 Leaf area per plant

Nutrient schedule imparted significant variation in the parameter (Table 2) and thetreatment S_1 (application of fertilisers as per POP as basal) recorded the highest value of 165.4 cm^2 at 20 DAS. During all other growth stages, the treatment S_2 was significantly superior (747.16 cm^2, 1435.6 cm^2 and 1216.2 cm^2 respectively at 40, 60 and 80 DAS) to all other treatments and it was on par with S_1 at 40 DAS (710.03 cm^2). Findings of Ahmad *et al.*(1999) show that providing sufficient N and S in split dosages during the growth phases result in greater utilization of carbohydrates to create more protoplasm and bigger cells which may result in an increase in leaf area.The effect of foliar nutrition on leaf area per plant was alsosignificant at 40 and 60 DAS. Foliar spray of urea and SOP @ 1% each at 10 and 40 DAS (S_1) recorded the highest value of 734.87 cm^2 at 40 DAS and 1382.7 cm^2 at 60 DAS. It was on par with S_2 at 40 DAS (716.7 cm^2). Interaction effect was also significant at all growth stages except at 20 DAS. Integrated application of N and S @ 10 kg ha^{-1} each in 2 splits (basal and 20 DAS), and foliar application of 1 % each urea and SOP at 10 and 30 DAS (S_2F_1) recorded the highest leaf area per plant (805.27 cm^2) at 40 DAS. At 60 DAS, the highest leaf area of 1543.83cm^2 was recorded with integratedapplicationof N and S@ 10 kg ha^{-1} each as2 splits (basal and 20 DAS) and foliar spray of nano urea @ 3mll^{-1} and nano S @ 1mll^{-1} (S_2F_2) and it was on par with S_2F_1 (1491.27 cm^2) and S_1F_1 (1425.67 cm^2). The treatment combination, S_2F_2 recorded the highest leaf area (1341.51 cm^2) at 80 DAS also and it was on par with S_2F_1 (1263.6 cm^2), S_1F_3 (1248.23 cm^2) and S_1F_1 (1236.47 cm^2). Foliar feeding of important nutrients, particularly N, resulted in the production and maintenance of greater chlorophyll and photosynthetic area in the form of increased leaf area (Kumar and Salakinkop, 2017).

Comment [MOU7]: Please add more discussion about nutrient and the impact to leaf area

3.3 Dry matter per plant

Dry matter production varied significantly among the treatments at all stages of growth except at 20 DAS (Table 3). Application of N and S @ 10 kg ha^{-1} each (S_2) as 2 equal splits (basal and 20 DAS) recorded the highest dry matter per plant at 40 DAS (10.0 g) and 60 DAS (16.67 g) and it was on par with S_1 (POP as basal) at 40 DAS (9.69 g). The treatments, S_1 (23.89 g) and S_2 (23.67 g) were on par at 80 DAS. Findings of

Table 2. Leaf area per plant (cm^2) as influenced by nutrient schedule and foliar nutrition

Treatment	20 DAS	40 DAS	60 DAS	80 DAS

Nutrient Schedule (S)				
S_1 – POP* as basal	165.4	710.03	1293.63	1188.49
S_2 – N & S 10 kg each in 2 splits	155.2	747.16	1435.6	1216.2
S_3 – POP without N and S as basal	136.97	682.98	1084.71	1022.9
SEm (±)	1.296	6.757	30.458	30.519
CD (P< 0.05)	3.885	20.257	91.313	91.497
Foliar Nutrition (F)				
F_1 - Urea + SOP	151.3	734.87	1382.7[a]	1175.65
F_2 - Nano urea + Nano S	153.6	716.7	1244.78	1153.64
F_3 - Control	152.6	688.6	1186.38	1098.33
SEm (±)	1.296	6.757	30.458	30.519
CD (P< 0.05)	NS	20.257	91.313	NS
Interaction (S x F)				
S_1F_1	166.3	712.76	1425.67	1236.47
S_1F_2	162.6	702.13	1193.867	1080.76
S_1F_3	167.3	715.13	1261.27	1248.23
S_2F_1	152.6	805.27	1491.267	1263.6
S_2F_2	157	764.9	1543.83	1341.51
S_2F_3	156	671.3	1271.6	1043.5
S_3F_1	135	692.55	1231.3	1026.9
S_3F_2	141.2	683.7	996.57	1038.67
S_3F_3	134.7	679.2	1026.27	1003.26
SEm (±)	2.245	11.703	52.755	52.861
CD (P< 0.05)	NS	35.086	158.159	158.477

Table 3. Dry matter production per plant (g) of groundnut as influenced by nutrient schedule and foliar nutrition

Treatment	20 DAS	40 DAS	60 DAS	80 DAS
Nutrient Schedule (S)				
S_1 – POP* as basal	0.97	9.69	15.56	23.89
S_2 – N & S 10 kg each in 2 splits	1.03	10.0	16.67	23.67
S_3 – POP without N and S as basal	0.99	9.13	15.33	21.0
SEm (±)	0.077	0.145	0.285	0.545
CD (P< 0.05)	NS	0.436	0.885	1.635
Foliar Nutrition (F)				
F_1 - Urea + SOP	1.1	10.04	16.22	23.67
F_2 - Nano urea + Nano S	0.92	9.6	15.89	24.22
F_3 - Control	0.97	9.19	15.44	20.67
SEm (±)	0.077	0.145	0.285	0.545
CD (P< 0.05)	NS	0.436	NS	1.635
Interaction (S x F)				
S_1F_1	1.05	9.52	16	24.6
S_1F_2	0.85	10.55	15.33	25
S_1F_3	1.02	8.99	15.33	22
S_2F_1	1.28	10.82	16.66	25
S_2F_2	0.889	9.99	17	25.66
S_2F_3	0.92	9.19	16.33	20.33
S_3F_1	0.965	9.77	16	21
S_3F_2	1.02	9.05	15.33	22
S_3F_3	0.98	8.56	14.67	19.66
SEm (±)	0.134	0.252	0.494	0.944
CD (P< 0.05)	NS	0.755	NS	NS

Ahmad*et al*. (1999) revealed that split application of N and S enhances the nutrient availability, results in higher leaf expansion, subsequent capture and efficient usage of solar radiation, resulting in greater dry matter deposition in leaves and shoots.The effect of foliar nutrition on dry matter production was significant at 40 and 80 DAS with F_1 and F_2 recording the highest values respectively as 10.04 g and 24.22 g. At 80 DAS, F_2 was on par with F_1 (23.67 g). The interaction effect was also significant at 40 DAS with S_2F_1 recording the highest dry matter of 10.82 g per plant and it was on par with S_1F_2 (10.55 g).The increase in dry matter output could be attributed to the crop's rapid uptake and assimilation of nutrients which are supplied through foliar application (Kumar and Salakinkop, 2017).

3.4Yield attributes

The hundred kernel weight of groundnut varied significantly with nutrient schedule and the treatment S_2 recorded the highest value of 43.17 g and it was on par with S_1 (42.46) (Table 4). Hundred kernel weight did not vary with foliar nutrition and SxF interaction.Number of pods per plant differed significantly with varying nutrient schedules and foliar nutrition. The treatments S_2 (application of N and S @ 10 kg ha^{-1} each in 2 splits) and F_2 (Foliar application of nano urea @ 3 mll^{-1} and nano S @ mll^{-1}) recorded the highest values of 21.00 and 20.56, respectively. The interaction effect was not significant. Nutrient schedule and foliar nutrition and theirinteraction did not have significant effect on days to 50% flowering and number of seeds per pod. As Ahmad *et al*. (1999) reported, supplying balanced doses of N and S to crop is possible through split application of nutrients, which facilitates increased availability of photosynthates to the reproductive parts and increased development of reproductive structures. An enhanced supply of photosynthates to pods would also let seeds to grow to theirmaximum capacity, resulting in an evident rise in hundred kernel weight. Thirunavukkarasu (2014) reported that application of 30 kg ha^{-1} of nano S recorded highest number of pods per plant in groundnut compared to conventional S application.

3.5 Kernel and straw yield

The kernel yield varied significantly with nutrient schedule, foliar nutrition and their interaction (Table 5). The treatment S_2, *viz*., the application of 10 kg ha^{-1} each of N and Sin 2 equal splits (basal and20 DAS), was significantly superior to all other

Table 4. Days to 50 per cent flowering, hundred kernel weight (g), number of pods per plant and number of seeds per pod of groundnut as influenced by nutrient schedule and foliar nutrition

treatments and it recorded the highest kernel yield of 1925.87 kg ha^{-1}. Ahmad *et al.* (1999) reported an enhancement in growth and yield characteristics with split application of S and N in brassica.Zainab *et al.*(2014) obtained the highest seed yield in soyabean by applying 60 kgha^{-1} of N in two portions; one quarter before sowing, and the rest at the start of seed-filling. Liu*et al.* (2019) revealed that N supplied through the split application met crop requirements, facilitating dry matter transport from vegetative organs to grain and pod during yield formation and thereby improving yield. Although basal N is required for crop vegetative growth in the early stages, timely topdressing in the middle or later stages is critical for achieving better kernel yields. The study conducted by Balasubramanian(1997) revealed that split N treatment coincided with peg formation

Treatment	Days to 50 per cent flowering	100 kernels weight (g)	No. of pods per plant	No. of seeds per pod
Nutrient Schedule (S)				
S_1 – POP* as basal	30.67	42.46	18.22	1.77
S_2 – N & S 10 kg each in 2 splits	30.78	43.17	21.0	1.93
S_3 – POP without N and S as basal	31.44	40.64	18.56	1.71
SEm (±)	0.914	0.459	0.285	0.075
CD (P< 0.05)	NS	1.377	0.855	NS
Foliar Nutrition (F)				
F_1 - Urea + SOP	30.44	42.36	19.22	1.82
F_2 - Nano urea + Nano S	31.56	42.53	20.56	1.85
F_3 - Control	30.89	41.38	18.0	1.77
SEm (±)	0.914	0.459	0.285	0.075
CD (P< 0.05)	NS	NS	0.855	NS
Interaction (S x F)				
S_1F_1	30.3	43.6	18.3	1.75
S_1F_2	32	42.5	19.3	1.86
S_1F_3	29.6	41.2	17	1.69
S_2F_1	31.3	43.4	21.6	2.11
S_2F_2	30.6	43.5	22.3	1.85
S_2F_3	30.3	42.6	19	1.83
S_3F_1	29.6	39.9	17.6	1.61
S_3F_2	32	41.1	20	1.81
S_3F_3	32.6	40.4	18	1.69
SEm (±)	0.795	1.584	0.494	0.130
CD (P< 0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS

resulted in increased pod output, which supports the findings of this study.

Significantly highest kernel yield was observed with F_2 (foliarapplication of nano urea @ 3 mll^{-1} and nano S @ 1 mll^{-1}at 10 and 30 DAS) recording a value of 1801.70kg ha^{-1}. Interaction effect was significant and the treatment S_2 in combination with F_1 and F_2 (S_2F_1 and S_2F_2) were on par with respect to the kernel yield, recording the values of 2035.5 kg ha^{-1} and 2109.50 kg ha^{-1}, respectively.Foliar application of nano urea fertilizer along with 75% of recommended dose of N supplied higher N to the plant throughout the growth stages resulting in increased interception of photosynthetically active radiation and greater photosynthesis in rice. Moreover, nano urea application resulted in enhanced starch translocation from leaves and straw to grain which produced higher grain yield. (Sahu *et al*., 2022). These result findings were in close agreement with the findings of Kumar *et al*. (2020). Thirunavukkarasu (2014) reported highest kernel yield in groundnut with the application of 30 kg ha^{-1} of nano S compared to conventional S and it might be due to the higher S uptake, improved availability of critical nutrients in soil possible through the use of nanoS fertilizer.

Highest haulm yield of 4492.04kg ha^{-1} was obtained with the nutrient schedule, S_2 (application of N & S @ 10 kg ha^{-1} each in 2 splits as basal and at20 DAS). A considerable increase in haulm yield owing to split N administration could be attributed to its direct influence on drymatter accumulation and plant stand(Meena *et al*., 2011).Similar results of higher haulm yield in groundnut by split application of N is recorded by Pareek andPoonia (2011). Foliar

Table 5. Kernel yield (kg ha^{-1}), haulm yield(kg ha^{-1}), harvest index and shelling percentage of groundnut as influenced by nutrient schedule and foliar nutrition

Treatment	Kernel yield	Haulm yield

	(kg ha^{-1})	(kg ha^{-1})
Nutrient schedule (S)		
S_1 – POP* as basal	1773.4	4244.98
S_2 – N & S 10 kg each in 2 splits	1925.87	4492.04
S_3 – POP without N and S as basal	1262.7	3681.7
SEm (±)	17.839	53.257
CD (P< 0.05)	53.482	159.6
Foliar Nutrition (F)		
F_1 - Urea + SOP	1746.9	4299.14
F_2 - Nano urea + Nano S	1801.7	4274.7
F_3 - Control	1413.2	3844.8
SEm (±)	17.839	53.257
CD (P< 0.05)	53.482	159.6
Interaction (S x F)		
S_1F_1	1870.7	4365.0
S_1F_2	1931.1	4188.67
S_1F_3	1518.4	4181.27
S_2F_1	2035.5	4659.43
S_2F_2	2109.8	4722.67
S_2F_3	1632.6	4094.03
S_3F_1	1334.7	3873
S_3F_2	1364.7	3912
S_3F_3	1088.7	3259.3
SEm (±)	30.899	92.244
CD (P< 0.05)	92.634	276.547

application of 1 % each urea and SOP at 10 and 30 DAS (F_1) recorded the highest haulm yield (4299.14 kg ha^{-1}) and it was on par with the treatment F_2, *viz*., application of nano urea @ 3 mll^{-1} and nano S @ 1 mll^{-1} at 10 and 30 DAS (4274.70 kg ha^{-1}). According to Lahari *et al*.(2021)the increase in straw production with the foliar spray of nano N and nano zinc fertilizers could be attributed to the fact that nano fertilizers are rapidly absorbed by plant body and easily translocated at a higher rate, which helps in faster photosynthesis and more dry matter formation resulting in increased strawyield.Integrated application of N and S @ 10 kg ha^{-1} each in 2 splits (basal and 20 DAS) and foliar application of nano urea @ 3 mll^{-1} and nano S @ 1 mll^{-1} at 10 and 30 DAS (S_2F_2) recorded the highest haulm yield (4722.67 kg ha^{-1}) and it was comparable with S_2F_1 (4659.43 kg ha^{-1}). Study conducted by Mehta and Bharat (2019) shows that application of NPK as per recommended dose and 3 sprays of nano NPK at 20, 35 and 45 DAS @ 3 ml $l^{-1}$and 2 NanoK sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml l^{-1} recorded highest straw yield in wheat compared to recommended dose of fertilisers as control. It shows the advantages of nano-fertilizers over traditional fertilizers for improved nutrient absorption and increased photosynthesiswhich result in optimal plant growth and straw yield.

3.6 Quality parameters

The protein, oil content and oil yield were varied significantly with nutrient schedule, foliar nutrition and their interaction (Table 6). Basal application of N and S as per POP (S_1) recorded the highest protein content (21.45 %) and it was on par with the treatment S_2 (application of N and S @ 10 kg ha^{-1} each in 2 splits), with a mean protein content of 20.98 %. Foliar application of nano urea (3 mll^{-1}) and nano S (1 mll^{-1}) resulted in significantly highest protein content of 21.89%. Combined application of N and S in 2 splits and foliar spray of nano fertilisers (S_2F_2) recorded the highest protein content (23.26%) and it was on par with S_1F_2 (22.16%).Głowacka *et al.* (2023) revealed that the crude protein level may have increased as a result of the split application of N and S, which boosted the availability of nutrients during the pod growth stage.Thirunavukkarasu (2014) documented that application of nano S increases availability of N and S in groundnut, as S is a component of several amino acids found in protein, and it also participates in various biochemical events that result in increased protein content.

Treatment	Crude protein (%)	Oil content (%)	Oil yield (kgha^{-1})

Table 6. Crude protein (%), oil content (%) and oil yield (kg ha^{-1}) of groundnut as influenced by nutrient schedule and foliar nutrition

Nutrient Schedule (S)			
S_1 – POP* as basal	21.45	47.73	848.17
S_2 – N & S 10 kg each in 2 splits	20.98	48.01	926.73
S_3 – POP without N and S as basal	20.11	45.64	673.31
SEm (±)	0.339	0.276	11.410
CD (0.05)	1.017	0.828	34.206
Foliar Nutrition (F)			
F_1 - Urea + SOP	20.49	47.81	867.77
F_2 - Nano urea + Nano S	21.89	48.0	895.18
F_3 - Control	20.16	45.53	685.27
SEm (±)	0.339	0.276	11.410
CD (0.05)	1.017	0.828	34.206
Interaction (S x F)			
S_1F_1	21.19	47.3	884.8
S_1F_2	22.16	49.3	952.3
S_1F_3	20.90	46.6	707.4
S_2F_1	19.65	49.1	1000.1
S_2F_2	23.26	48.4	1020.0
S_2F_3	20.03	46.5	759.5
S_3F_1	20.55	47	718.5
S_3F_2	20.24	46.5	712.6
S_3F_3	19.56	43.4	588.8
SEm (±)	0.588	0.48	19.76
CD (0.05)	1.762	1.43	59.25

Highest oil content (48.01 %) was obtained with the treatment S_2 (N and S @ 10 kg each in 2 splits)and it was statistically comparable (47.73 %) to S_1 (N & S as per POP as basal).

The effect of foliar nutrition was also significant and the treatments, F_2 (foliar application of nano urea and nano S) and F_1 (foliar spray of urea and SOP) were on par with respect to the oil content, recording the values of 48.04 % and 47.81 %, respectively. Integrated application of N and S as per POP as basal and foliar spray of nano fertilisers (S_1F_2) recorded the highest (49.3 %) oil content and it was on par with S_2F_1 and S_2F_2.Higher availability of essential nutrients including plant available S in pod formation stage assisted by nano S application might have affected synthesis of essential metabolites for higher oil content, increased production of glycosides which on hydrolysis produced higher oil.These results are in line with the findings of Thirunavukkarasu (2014).

Oil yield differed significantly with treatments and their interaction. An oil yield of 926.73 kg $ha^{-1}$was recorded with the treatment, S_2 (10 kg N & S each in 2 splits). While, foliar application ofnano urea (3mll^{-1}) and nano S (1 mll^{-1}) recorded the highest oil yield of (895.18kg ha^{-1}) and it was on par (867.77kgha^{-1}) with urea (1%) and SOP (1%). Combined application of N and S @ 10 kg ha^{-1} each in 2 splits (basal and 20 DAS) and foliar spray of nano urea @ 3 mll^{-1}& nano S @ 1 mll^{-1} (S_2F_2) recorded the highest oil yield of 1020 kg ha^{-1} and it was on par with S_2F_1(1000 kg ha^{-1}).Since oil yield is a function of kernel oil content and kernel yield, the treatment which recorded highest oil content and kernel yield also recorded highest oil yield (Cheema *et al*., 2010). Pareek *et al*. (2020) also witnessed an increase in oil yield as a result of higher kernel yield, enhanced crude protein content in kernel due to split N application in groundnut.

4. CONCLUSION

The study revealed that there was a significant variation in growth, yield attributes and quality parameters of groundnut due to split and foliar application nutrients. Hence aApplication of N and S each @ 10 kgha^{-1} 2 equal splits (basal and 20 DAS) along with foliar spray of nano urea (3ml 1^{-1}) and nano S (1 ml 1^{-1}) at 10 and 30 DAS was found to be the best NS schedule for groundnut.

Reference

Ahmad, A., Abrol, Y. P., and Abdin, M. Z. 1999. Effect of split application of sulphur and nitrogen on growth and yield attributes of Brassica genotypes differing in time of flowering. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 79(2): 175-180.

Balasubramanian, P. 1997. Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield, quality and economic returns in irrigated groundnuts. *Madras Agric J*. 84(9): 536–538.

Benzon, H. R. L., Rubenecia, M. R. U., Ultra, V. U., and Lee, S. C. 2015. Nano-fertilizer affects the growth, development, and chemical properties of rice. *Int. J. Agron. Agric. Res.* 7(1): 105-117.

Cheema, M. A., Malik, M. A., Hussain, A., Shah, S.H., and Basra, S. M. A. 2010 Effects of time and rate of nitrogen and phosphorus application on the growth and the seed and oil yields of canola (*Brassica napus* L.). *J. Agron. Crop Sci*. 186(2):103-110.

FAO (Food and Agricultural Organization).2021 Report- FAOSTAT production year.[on-line].Available: https://www.fao.org/3/cb4477en/cb4477en.pdf

Głowacka, A., Jariene, E., Flis-Olszewska, E., and Kiełtyka-Dadasiewicz, A. 2023. The effect of nitrogen and sulphur application on soybean productivity traits in temperate climates conditions. *Agron.* 13(3): 780-795.

GoI (Government of India). 2022. Agricultural statistics at a glance 2022. [on-line].Available:https://agriwelfare.gov.in/en/Agricultural_Statistics_at_a_Glance

ICRISAT [International Crop Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics]. 2023. ICRISAT home page [on line]. Available: https://icrisat.org/impacts/impact-stories/icrisat-is-groundnuts.pdf [01 Dec. 2023].

Kumar, Y., Tiwari, K. N., Nayak, R. K., Rai, A., Singh, S. P., Singh, A. N., Kumar, Y., Tomar, H., Singh, T., and Raliya, R. 2020. Nanofertilizers for increasing nutrient use efficiency, yield and economic returns in important winter season crops of Uttar Pradesh. *Indian J. Fertil.* 16(8): 772-786.

Kumar, H. M. and Salakinkop, S. R. 2017. Growth analysis in groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.) as influenced by foliar nutrition. *Legume Res.* 40(6): 1072-1077.

Lahari, S., Hussain, S. A., Parameswari, Y. S., and Sharma, S. 2021. Grain yield and nutrient uptake of rice as influenced by the nano forms of nitrogen and zinc. *Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change*11(7): 1-6.

Liu, Z., Gao, F., Liu, Y., Yang, J., Zhen, X., Li, X., Li, Y., Zhao, J., Li, J., Qian, B., and Yang, D. 2019. Timing and splitting of nitrogen fertilizer supply to increase crop yield and efficiency of nitrogen utilization in a wheat–peanut relay intercropping system in China. *Crop J.* 7(1): 101-112.

Meena, B. P., Kumawat, S., and Yadav, R. 2011. Effect of planting geometry and nitrogen management on groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) in loamy sand soil of Rajasthan. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* 81(1): 86-8.

Mehta, S. and Bharat, R. 2019. Effect of integrated use of nano and non-nano fertilizers on yield and yield attributes of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci*. 8(12): 598-606.

Naderi, M. R. and Danesh, S. A. 2013. Nano fertilizers and their roles in sustainable agriculture. *Int. J. Agric. Crop Sci.* 5(19): 2229–2232.

Pareek, N. K. and Poonia, B. L. 2011. Effect of FYM, nitrogen and foliar spray of iron on productivity and economics of irrigated groundnut in an arid region of India. *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci.* 57(5): 523-531.

Pareek, N. K., Poonia, B. L., Sharma, R. K., and Singh, R. P. 2020. Effect of integrated nitrogen management and foliar spray of iron on groundnut yield, quality and economics in arid region. *J. Environ. Biol*. 41: 1703-1709.

Sahu, T. K., Kumar, M., Kumar, N., Chandrakar, T., and Singh, D.P. 2022. Effect of nano urea application on growth and productivity of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under mid land situation of Bastar region. *Pharma Innov. J.* 11: 185-187.

Schnug, E., Haneklaus, E. and Murphy, D. P. L. 1993. Impact of sulphur fertilization on fertilizer nitrogen efficiency. *Sulphur Agric*. 17: 8-12.

Thirunavukkarasu, M. 2014. Synthesis and evaluation of sulphur nano-fertilizer for groundnut M.Sc. (Ag) thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, 130p.

Zainab, A., Morteza, S. D., and Amir, A. M. 2014. Effect of different levels of nitrogen fertilizer on morphological traits and yield of soybean cultivar. *Adv. Environ. Biol.* pp. 334–337.

Author's contribution

The authors confirm contribution to the papers as follows: Dr. Jinsy V. S:ideal conception and implementation of the research. Aswini O: conduct of experiment, data collection,analysis of the results and writing of the manuscript.K. V. Sumesh, N. Manikandan and Ancy F. participated in reviewing and editing of manuscript.