

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Advances in Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_114369</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Recipes For Happiness: Conversations On Happiness And Well-Being In The School Environment</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Opinion Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>This manuscript can serve as a useful guide for teaching happiness and exploring children's perspectives in academic environments. It seems that presenting the methodology and a more detailed analysis approach in the abstract can provide a clearer insight into the conducted study. This point can more explicitly and comprehensively describe the content of your paper.</b></p> <p>2. <b>yes</b></p> <p>3. <b>The abstract lacks a clear statement of the specific methodology employed in the analysis of children's perceptions of happiness. Providing a brief overview of the research methodology would enhance the clarity and depth of the abstract.</b> The abstract doesn't explicitly mention the approach used to analyze the anthology of recipes for happiness created by elementary school children. Including a brief statement about the analytical approach would make the abstract more comprehensive.</p> <p>5. <b>yes</b> 6. <b>yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Introduction:</p> <p>Issues:</p> <p>The introduction lacks a clear and concise thesis statement that explicitly outlines the central argument or purpose of the paper. Including a clear thesis statement would provide readers with a roadmap of what to expect in the paper.</p> <p>The introduction appears to cover a broad array of philosophical and psychological perspectives on happiness without adequately connecting these viewpoints to the specific context of children's perceptions of happiness in a school environment. Focusing the introduction on the relevance of philosophical and psychological perspectives to the specific analysis of children's perceptions would strengthen the introduction.</p> <p>The discussion, conclusion, and findings are significantly weak and have not been adequately executed</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kambiz Karimi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bu-Ali Sina University, Iran</b>