

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_115249
Title of the Manuscript:	Perception of Community Science students in relation to Vocationalization of B.Sc. Degree Program
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Needs to improve.</p> <p>Not sufficient.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Can be improved.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Moderate editing required.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. **Clarity and Context**:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The introduction effectively highlights the broader scope of Community Science beyond traditional perceptions, which is essential for setting the context. - It may be beneficial to provide a more detailed explanation of the shift from Home Science to B.Sc. Community Science to give readers a clear understanding of the transformation. <p>2. **Methodology**:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The methodology section provides a detailed explanation of how the data was collected and evaluated, which is commendable. - It would be beneficial to elaborate on the rationale behind selecting the final 30 statements for the test and how they align with the research objectives. 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>3. Results and Interpretation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The results section effectively presents the perception of students regarding vocational opportunities in Community Science education. However, a more in-depth analysis of why certain students were neutral or undecided could provide valuable insights. - Including visual aids such as graphs or charts could enhance the presentation of results and make it easier for readers to interpret the data. <p>4. Conclusion and Implications:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The conclusion addresses the key findings but could benefit from a more robust discussion on the implications of the results. - Consider discussing potential interventions or strategies that could address the uncertainties identified among students regarding vocational opportunities in Community Science. <p>5. Further Research:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Is there any plan for follow-up studies to delve deeper into the reasons behind students' perceptions and to explore potential strategies for enhancing vocationalization in Community Science education? <p>6. Citation and Referencing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ensure proper formatting and consistency in citations and referencing throughout the article. 	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ebrahim E. Elsayed
Department, University & Country	Mansoura University, Egypt