

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_115188
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of on Custom Hiring Centers on Cost, Profitability, and Efficiency in Crop Production in Anantapur District of Andhra Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes. Agricultural mechanisation is of paramount importance in combating world hunger and this article shades light on the benefits of mechanisation. Also, the article highlights the need for continued investment in promoting agricultural mechanisation as more still needs to be done. • Yes. The title is suitable as it aligns with the majority of the content of the article. • Yes. The abstract is comprehensive. • Yes. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. • Yes, mostly so. I just have some reservations on a few claims made without explicit results for them. These orbit around the lack overall scores for the various efficiencies mentioned in the paper. • The references are somewhat dated, averaging around 11 years old, but the principles gleaned from them most likely still hold true to date. There is some inconsistency in how literature is being cited in the text as well as some literature missing either from the text or from the reference list. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes, the quality of English is suitable though there are a few statements in the article that require revision for improved clarity. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I feel that had there been some metric for overall/total efficiency consisting of AE, TE, SE and EC, maybe just their average, that would be determined for each farm(er) and consequently for each beneficiary category more useful insights could be gleaned from this study. For example, it would then be possible to determine which of the different components of overall efficiency (AE, TE, SE or EC) contributes the most to overall efficiency or yield etc. making more focused interventions possible (maybe going beyond CHCs or just mechanisation). • I also find the discussion between the findings of this article and those of the papers cited unrelated. The author fails to show explicitly how the present findings are supported or related to the findings cited. • In addition, I found the discussion on the factors influencing farmers to use CHC services somewhat divorced from the thrust of the present article as encapsulated in the title. I failed to understand how factors influencing the decision to use CHC services have a bearing on how CHCs impact the cost, profitability and efficiency of farms producing groundnuts. If there is a connection the author needs to be more explicit in elaborating it. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Batanai Lovemore Sammie
Department, University & Country	Midlands State University, Zimbabwe