

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_115327
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPARISON AND EVALUATION OF LOOP DIURETIC FRUSEMIDE GENERIC Vs BRAND TABLETS
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Grammatical errors observed all over the article.</li> <li>2. The article statements should be in past tense</li> <li>3. Referencing is missing for the statements provided in the introduction section.</li> <li>4. As the study shows the release rate is under IP, what for different formulation, ex: Injections.</li> <li>5. Mechanism of action should come under introduction part.</li> <li>6. What is 580 in the assay section, and molecular formula in the section creates some confusion.</li> <li>7. UV-absorption spectra are missing.</li> <li>8. Is the UV spectra method being more accurate than the HPLC method analysis?</li> <li>9. Material and method section need a clear procedure of the experiment.</li> <li>10. Referencing section should be re-written; page number and volume are missing.</li> <li>11. Referencing 13 and 14 need to be rewritten.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Gunasekar Manoharan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>New Jersey Bio Science Centre, USA</b>