

Editor's Comment:

I analysed the process, including the reviewer's comments, and authors replies along with the improved manuscript.

The two reviewers provided a very positive evaluation on this work, which is a case study on the cathodic protection of steel elements in concrete bridges, suggesting minor revisions. I could see that the authors mostly applied all the recommendations given by the reviewers. However, there are few issues that I would like to point out:

- There are some sub-section titles in section 2 that are out of format.
- I noticed that the authors used 2.A, 2.b, 2.C, etc for the subsections numbering. Can you please confirm that this format is correct?
- I noticed that the results section (section 3) is too short compared to the methodology section (section 2). Can the authors present further discussions on the obtained data?

Overall, the paper seems relevant and suitable for the Journal, and I would recommend publication.

Editor's Details:

Prof. Raul Duarte Salgueiral Gomes Campilho
ISEP – School of Engineering, Porto, Portugal.