

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_112656
Title of the Manuscript:	Modification and Performance Evaluation of an Existing Prototype Mechanical Rice De-Stoner
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes it is important for end users</p> <p>2. Yes but it needs little modification</p> <p>3. Yes of course</p> <p>4. Yes, but needs major revision. There are also missed sections.</p> <p>5. Yes with additional improvement</p> <p>6. References are very old, not sufficient and has be written using new referencing systems software like Mendeley, EndNote, etc.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, It needs some grammar improvement	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Attention has to be given for the overall flow ideas of the manuscript, experimental design, data collection and analysis methods, parameters considered, software used for data analysis, showing the modified part by picture or drawing, detail analysis of data's, very old referencing system used, better to improve the quality of the used pictures, Acknowledgements, authors competing interest, etc. to improve the quality of the manuscript.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Adem Tibesso Kole</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Oromia Agricultural Research Institute, Ethiopia</b>