

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_114981
Title of the Manuscript:	Sustainable Solutions: Integrating Renewable Energy and Electric Vehicles for Cleaner Operations
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript appears to contribute to the scientific community by reviewing innovative approaches in dynamic system monitoring, particularly focusing on signal processing and parameter estimation strategies. These topics are crucial in various fields such as engineering, data science, and automation, where real-time monitoring and analysis of complex systems are essential. However, the manuscript's importance may vary depending on several factors: Clarity and Depth of Analysis: A thorough and well-articulated analysis of the reviewed approaches, including their strengths, limitations, and potential applications, can enhance the manuscript's importance. Clear explanations and detailed discussions allow readers to grasp the significance of the presented concepts and methodologies. Relevance to Current Challenges: The manuscript's relevance to addressing current challenges in dynamic system monitoring is crucial. If it addresses pressing issues or provides solutions to practical problems faced by researchers or industry professionals, its importance to the scientific community increases. Potential for Impact and Application: Manuscripts that offer practical solutions or insights with potential real-world applications tend to have a higher impact on the scientific community. If the reviewed approaches can be implemented effectively and lead to improvements in system monitoring practices, the manuscript's importance is heightened. Scope and Coverage: The manuscript's scope and coverage of relevant literature, methodologies, and case studies also influence its importance. A comprehensive review that synthesizes existing knowledge and identifies gaps for future research can be highly valuable to researchers seeking to explore new avenues in dynamic system monitoring. In summary, while the manuscript appears to cover important topics in dynamic system monitoring, its significance to the scientific community will ultimately depend on factors such as originality, clarity, relevance, applicability, and the depth of analysis provided. A thorough evaluation considering these aspects would help determine its overall importance and potential impact. Finally, it would be beneficial for the manuscript to include a more explicit section on objectives, methodology, results, and future work to enhance its structure and clarity. 2. The title of the article seems appropriate as it provides a clear indication of the main topic discussed in the manuscript, which is the integration of renewable energy sources into energy systems for smart cities. It effectively communicates the research focus and its potential implications. However, if an alternative title is sought that may emphasize the specific aspects of the study more, something like "Integrating Renewable Energy for Sustainable Urban Mobility in Smart Cities: A Comprehensive Review" could be considered. This title reflects the interdisciplinary nature of the study and its focus on sustainable urban mobility within the context of smart cities. 3. The abstract appears to be comprehensive. It effectively summarizes the main points discussed in the manuscript, including the integration of renewable energy sources into energy systems for smart cities and its implications. The abstract provides a clear overview of the research focus, methodology, key findings, and potential future directions. However, to further enhance its impact, it could include a brief statement highlighting the significance of the findings or the potential impact of the research on advancing renewable energy technologies and promoting 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>sustainable urban development.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">4. Based on the information provided in the manuscript, the subsections and overall structure appear to be logically organized and appropriate. The manuscript seems to follow a clear and coherent structure, which likely enhances readability and comprehension for the reader. However, as previously mentioned, the inclusion of more explicit sections addressing objectives, methodology, results, and future work could further enhance the clarity and completeness of the manuscript's structure.5. To conclude that the manuscript is scientifically correct6. The references appear to be sufficient and recent as they cover relevant topics related to the integration of renewable energy sources into energy systems for smart cities. The presence of references spanning up to the year 2023 indicates that the manuscript takes into account recent literature in the field. However, it is always beneficial to stay updated with the latest advancements in research. If the author has access to even more recent literature or wishes to reinforce specific areas, considering the incorporation of recent publications is recommended to maintain the relevance, credibility and currency of the manuscript.	
--	--	--

[Review Form 1.7](#)

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The language and English quality of the article appear to be suitable for scholarly communications. The manuscript demonstrates a proficient use of English, with clear and coherent sentences that effectively convey the research content. However, it's advisable for the author to conduct a final proofreading to ensure grammatical accuracy, consistency, and clarity throughout the text. Additionally, attention to formatting conventions and academic writing standards, such as proper citation format and structure, would further enhance the professionalism of the manuscript. Overall, while the language quality is adequate, minor revisions may be beneficial to optimize readability and adherence to scholarly communication standards.</p> <p>Some minor corrections to improve the language quality of the article include:</p> <p>Grammar and Syntax: Review the manuscript for any grammatical errors, such as subject-verb agreement, tense consistency, and punctuation mistakes. Ensure that sentences are well-structured and easy to understand.</p> <p>Clarity and Coherence: Enhance clarity by avoiding overly complex sentence structures or ambiguous phrasing. Aim for straightforward and concise language to facilitate comprehension by readers.</p> <p>Consistency: Maintain consistency in terminology, style, and formatting throughout the manuscript. Check for any inconsistencies in spelling, punctuation, or citation format and rectify them accordingly.</p> <p>Academic Tone: Ensure that the tone of the writing is formal and objective, typical of scholarly communication. Avoid colloquial expressions, slang, or overly informal language that may detract from the professionalism of the manuscript.</p> <p>Citation Format: Double-check the accuracy and consistency of citations and references according to the specified citation style (e.g., APA, MLA, Chicago). Ensure that all sources cited in the text are properly formatted in the reference list, and vice versa.</p> <p>By addressing these minor issues, the manuscript can maintain a high standard of language quality suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In general, the manuscript provides a comprehensive overview of the integration of renewable energy sources into energy systems for smart cities. It addresses an important topic within the field of sustainable urban development and energy management. The content is well-organized and covers relevant aspects related to the subject matter.</p> <p>However, there are some areas where the manuscript could be strengthened. Firstly, the inclusion of explicit sections on objectives, methodology, results, and future work would enhance the clarity and structure of the manuscript. Additionally, ensuring methodological rigor and robust data analysis procedures is essential to strengthen the scientific validity of the research presented.</p> <p>Furthermore, while the references provided are sufficient and recent, there is always room for improvement in terms of currency and breadth. The author may consider incorporating more recent literature to demonstrate awareness of recent advancements in the field and further enrich the manuscript.</p> <p>Overall, the manuscript presents valuable insights into the integration of renewable energy for smart cities, but there are opportunities for refinement to enhance its scholarly impact and scientific rigor.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>I would like to suggest considering the inclusion of a section discussing potential limitations and future directions of the research. Addressing these aspects can provide valuable insights for readers and enhance the overall completeness of the manuscript. Additionally, it might be beneficial to incorporate more visual aids such as figures or tables to illustrate key concepts and findings more effectively. These additions could further enhance the clarity and impact of the manuscript. This could help readers better visualize the presented data and results, thereby improving the clarity and effectiveness of communicating the research.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alberto Tama Franco
Department, University & Country	Universitat Carlemany, United States