

Review Form 1.7

JournalName:	JournalofAdvancesinMathematicsandComputerScience
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JAMCS_114520
TitleoftheManuscript:	Numericalmodelingoftwo-phaseliquid-solidmovementthroughpipes
TypeoftheArticle	Fullscrip

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, but one needs to little about the outcome of the research work</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No, I have some suggested references</p>	<p>1.</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. The manuscript is grammatically good</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p> <p>1. The equations</p> <p>2. Reference</p> <p>3. Table 1.</p>	<p>The equations should be in center and formulae numbers should be at the end of line</p> <p>Molerus and wellman (1)</p> <p>Table 1: is not well arranged</p> <p>The equation (5) particular is not well placed</p> <p>Molerus and wellman (1) as it appear in the manuscript, is not in the reference part</p> <p>The author should rearrange the data in table 1.</p> <p>The manuscript is important for scientific in the fluid flow</p> <p>The title is appropriate</p> <p>little about the outcome of the research work needs to be introduce in the abstract</p> <p>The subsections and sections are well organized</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	Authors should add the following papers to the manuscript: 1. Titiloye, E.O., Babatunde, A.J. and Dada, M.S. Mathematical modelling of two layered blood flow through a tapered artery with overlapping stenotic condition, Ilorin Journal of Science, vol. 3, number 2, 2016, pp. 208-223. Dada, M.S., Babatunde, A.J. and Mohammed, M.T., Fluid analysis of Double-layered blood flow through a tapered overlapping stenosed artery with a porous wall, JHMTR 9(2022), 189-196.	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Babatunde Abiodun Joseph
Department, University & Country	University of Ilorin, Nigeria