

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_114933
Title of the Manuscript:	Propagation studies on different rootstocks of jamun (Syzygium cuminii skeels)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community</p> <p>The title suitable and describe adequately the content of the manuscript.</p> <p>The summary is incomplete. therefore, comments should be taken into account to facilitate understanding of the work without going into the document.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections were appropriate</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>the references quoted are precise but not sufficient. you'd have to go and read some studies on other species in the same family?</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Abstract: check for spelling mistakes, state your methodology clearly and show the implications or prospects of your study.</p> <p>2. Introduction: references should be listed in order, check for spelling errors, summarize certain sections.</p> <p>3. enrich the discussion by giving reasons for the poor results with other rootstocks. show the implications of the study.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hamadé Tidjani Guinde
Department, University & Country	University Joseph Ki-Zerbo, Burkina Faso