

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Research Journal of Oncology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IRJO_115375</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>AWARENESS AND KNOWLEDGE OF RETINOBLASTOMA AMONG PREGNANT WOMEN IN A SPECIALIST HOSPITAL IN NIGERIA.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>Yes, very important to demonstrate the level of knowledge of a potentially mortal eye disease among pregnant women</b></p> <p><b>My suggestion: AWARENESS AND KNOWLEDGE OF RETINOBLASTOMA AMONG PREGNANT WOMEN IN NIGERIA</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Data Processing and Statistical Analysis: it is not clear how good knowledge and bad knowledge were scored. Fig 1: A pie chart showing the knowledge of retinoblastoma is not necessary to be posted on the final manuscript (only to mention the figures in Results section).</b></p> <p><b>Yes, it is scientifically correct</b></p> <p><b>Yes, references are sufficient</b></p> <p><b>I suggest authors to explain limitations of the study (for example: representativeness of pregnant women int this hospital with other Nigerian hospitals)</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, English quality is enough understandable.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Congratulations to all authors: very interesting work</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Marco A. Ramirez-Ortiz</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hospital Infantil De México Federico Gómez, Mexico</b>