

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_113409
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF MICROBIAL INTERACTIONS BETWEEN STARTER POTENTIAL LACTIC ACID BACTERIA AND MAJOR MICROORGANISMS DURING IN VITRO FERMENTATION IN A MEDIUM SIMILAR TO COCOA PULP IN COTE D'IVOIRE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it contributes valuable knowledge to the field.</p> <p>2. <b>The article title is good. Just for suggestion</b> "Assessment of microbial interactions between potential lactic acid bacteria starters and major microorganisms during in vitro fermentation in a medium similar to cocoa pulp in Cote d'Ivoire."</p> <p>3. Consider simplifying complex sentences and using more concise language for better clarity and understanding.</p> <p>4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. (need abbreviation table for all short form used in article)</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>7. Reference in article is sufficient and recent.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Need to add an abbreviation table for all short forms used in the article.</li> <li>✓ Need to improve grammar in all sections of the article.</li> <li>✓ Write "Bacillus" in italic "<i>Bacillus</i>"</li> <li>✓ Maintain significant differences within column or raw (Table 1)</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications, but there is room for improvement in terms of grammar.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Need to add an abbreviation table for all short forms used in the article.</li> <li>✓ Need to improve grammar in all sections of the article.</li> <li>✓ Write "Bacillus" in italic "<i>Bacillus</i>"</li> <li>✓ Maintain significant differences within column or raw (Table 1)</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ramani Akshay Rajeshbhai</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>ICAR-National Dairy Research Institute, India</b>