

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_114569
Title of the Manuscript:	THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE DURATION AND TYPE OF SCREEN TIME AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF REFRACTIVE ERRORS IN ADULT PATIENTS
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes. It touches on a very key scientific aspect that forms a basis for a concerted scientific discussion and engagement towards getting a scientific solution to the problem in question. It forms a very solid basis for collaboration in the scientific world	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Fairly suitable. It talks about adults but am of the opinion that it domineers much on young age. Therefore I suggest it be amended to bring in the correlation between the impact in children and the adults	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes But the subsection on "theories" doesn't seem to rhyme with the content under because the content seems to be just mere suggestive ideas not really scientific theories.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes	
<u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>		

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The idea is well-thought and well-developed.• The manuscript carries a noble contemporary emerging global issue which calls for a scientific redress• The part I highlighted In red requires some grammatical check	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kennedy Musyoka Kivonya
Department, University & Country	Nguutani School, Centre for Mathematics, Science and Technology Education in Africa, Kenya