

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_114569
Title of the Manuscript:	THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE DURATION AND TYPE OF SCREEN TIME AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF REFRACTIVE ERRORS IN ADULT PATIENTS
Type of the Article	Review Article

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, this manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses a timely and significant issue—the relationship between screen time and the development of refractive errors. the manuscript offers valuable insights that can inform public health interventions and guidelines aimed at promoting optimal visual health in the digital age.</p> <p>The article predominantly focuses on preschool myopia risk and its relationship with screen time, a more suitable title could be: Exploring the Influence of Screen Time on Myopia Risk in Preschoolers and Adults</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>While the references appear to be recent, there are concerns regarding incorrect referencing and potential factual inaccuracies in the manuscript. It's crucial to ensure that each reference supports the statements made accurately. Additional references may be necessary to strengthen the manuscript and provide robust support for its claims.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Chinmay Guralwar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>