

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_114847
Title of the Manuscript:	Treatment and outcome of choledochal cyst, our experience in SheikhRussel National Gastroliver Institute and Hospital, Mohakhali Dhaka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
1)		

Review Form 1.7

<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1) choledochal cyst & it's procedures there are proper guidelines, this study can only give their observations.</p> <p>2) Yes</p> <p>3) Conclusion need to be comprehensive in terms of observational study</p> <p>4) Tables cannot be comparative in this study</p> <p>5) No</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Results showed: "guys " this is very wage word to use in articles.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1) This study objectives states about an Observational study group, the results shows an comparative group between radical cystectomy and Partial hepatectomy which are done for Type 1-3 & Type 4 respectively. However both the groups are 2 different scenarios and in comparable statistically. (Authors needs look into an Observational study & not into comparative between 2 widely different groups)</p> <p>2) The study states clinical features distribution, can they explain the minimum T Bilirubin limit & Reason for Abdominal mass can they post the radiological pictures as it's a retrospective study (Table 3)</p> <p>3) Table 5 ,shows abdominal mass, can the authors elaborate the mass, Ugly scar what exactly they Mean, can they classify it.</p> <p>4) In Discussion, authors had mentioned: There study showed through evaluation before surgery, plan the surgery And very careful during surgery. Can authors explain in article what change they made in their patients regarding Evaluation, what careful steps they adopted. Although since long back, it's been followed, type of surgery according to classification of choledochal cyst, who did they plan surgery correctly as they mentione</p> <p>5) Conclusion is very general,like "much pain" etc., conclusion needs to be removed written.</p> <p>6) Radiological & intra operative pictures needs to be added in this article</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P Venkata Sagar
Department, University & Country	Apollo Hospitals, India