

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_114973
Title of the Manuscript:	SEAWEED DIVERSITY IN SELECTED MUNICIPALITIES IN THE BALICUATRO AREA OF THE PROVINCE OF NORTHERN SAMAR, PHILIPPINES
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1) There are very less studies found in the present study area about the seaweed diversity and related environmental impact, so the present study and this data is important to the scientific community.</p> <p>2) Yes, the title is suitable</p> <p>3) The abstract can be modified I commented in abstract portion</p> <p>4) Subsections can be explained little more</p> <p>5) Yes, the manuscript is scientifically sound</p> <p>6) I commented in particular section, it may be adding more</p> <p>7) The discussion can be improving with add up of statistics and comparison among the stations</p> <p>8) Conclusion and suggestions has to improve</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	It has to be improve	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The manuscript can be accepted after corrected all the comments	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>M. Muruganatham</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Pondicherry University, India</b>