

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_114831
Title of the Manuscript:	A PATH MODEL OF PSYCHOLOGICAL WELL-BEING OF TEACHERS DURING THE COVID – 19 PANDEMIC : A POSITIVIST AND CONSTRUCTIVIST VIEWPOINT
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This text is still relevant today in the world of education. Even though the Covid-19 pandemic has passed, its impact is still felt today. Therefore, this manuscript has benefits for the educational scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title of this article is adequate and can be considered to meet scientific criteria.</p> <p>3. Completeness of the abstract is also adequate in terms of research objectives, methodology, instruments, analysis, and findings and discussion of research results</p> <p>4. The structure of the manuscript is very good, starting from the introduction, methodology, findings and discussion, so that this manuscript is very adequate according to the criteria for scientific writing.</p> <p>5. Examining the manuscript in detail shows that this manuscript is scientifically correct, because it meets the standards required as a scientific work.</p> <p>6. The references to psychological matters can be considered adequate, although some of the quotations are somewhat older. However, for references relating to the Covid-19 pandemic, it is best to supplement them with more references, so that they can show the strength and sharpness of the author's analysis in discussing the research findings</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>In general, the English used in this manuscript is understandable, making it easier for readers in the scientific community</p> <p>However, considering that several paragraphs are indicated to contain similarities, it would be better if the sentences in these paragraphs were changed or paraphrased</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yudha Andana Prawira
Department, University & Country	Indonesia