

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_114831</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A PATH MODEL OF PSYCHOLOGICAL WELL-BEING OF TEACHERS DURING THE COVID – 19 PANDEMIC : A POSITIVIST AND CONSTRUCTIVIST VIEWPOINT</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript is indeed important for the scientific community as it addresses the crucial issue of teacher burnout and its impact on psychological well-being. It provides valuable insights into the factors contributing to burnout and offers recommendations for intervention and support programs, which can have significant implications for educational institutions and policymakers.</li> <li>2. The title of the article is appropriate as it accurately reflects the focus of the study. However, it could be slightly more specific to highlight the key findings or implications. For example, "Understanding Teacher Burnout: Implications for Psychological Well-being and Intervention Strategies."</li> <li>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive, providing a clear overview of the study objectives, methods, key findings, and implications. It effectively summarizes the main points discussed in the manuscript.</li> <li>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, allowing for easy navigation and understanding of the content. Each section logically flows into the next, facilitating comprehension of the study's methodology, results, and conclusions.</li> <li>5. The manuscript appears scientifically correct, with well-supported arguments and findings based on the analysis of data. However, it would be beneficial to provide more details on the methodology, including the sample size, data collection procedures, and statistical analyses employed.</li> <li>6. The references provided are sufficient and cover a wide range of relevant literature on burnout, psychological well-being, and related topics. However, it may be helpful to include some more recent references, particularly studies published in the last 2-3 years, to ensure the inclusion of the latest research findings in the field.</li> </ol>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications. However, some sentences could be revised for clarity and conciseness. Consider reviewing the text for any grammatical errors or awkward phrasings to enhance readability.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consider adding more specific details about the sample characteristics, such as demographic information and teaching experience, to provide a clearer understanding of the study population.</li> <li>• Ensure consistency in formatting and referencing style throughout the manuscript.</li> <li>• Clarify any ambiguous or unclear statements to improve the overall clarity of the manuscript.</li> <li>• Consider incorporating visual aids, such as tables or figures, to present the data more effectively and enhance reader comprehension.</li> <li>• Double-check the accuracy of all statistical analyses and results reported in the manuscript to ensure reliability and validity.</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Md Mehedi Hasan Emon</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bangladesh</b>