

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_113522
Title of the Manuscript:	Social media, sexualization mania and cultural shifts
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. The topic is very interesting.</p> <p>Yes. But the writing is in appropriate. It should be capitalized each word.</p> <p>There are several things that are not in the abstract, the first is that the abstract does not mention what data was used, how to obtain the data. how to process data (research methods). then the findings should be briefly presented in the abstract. then the keywords should be sorted alphabetically.</p> <p>There is no material and method</p> <p>Yes.. it is correct but not perfect.</p> <p>The references are good and sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality is good but there are some writing errors.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The topic is good but the article is little bit confusing. Which stand that this article wants? Research article or review paper or case report ?. Because the abstract and the structure of the article is not proper yet.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dewi Ikke Nuryani
Department, University & Country	Jember University, Indonesia